Research on the Observation and Educational Intervention of Youth Ideological Trends in Private Higher Education Institutions Based on a Sample from Guangzhou College of Applied Science and Technology

Benming Li[1], Jing Xu[2], Wulong Cao[1]

[1]*School of Marxism, Guangzhou College of Applied Science and Technology, Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China*

[2]*School of Marxism, Zhaoqing Medical College, Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China*

Abstract: This study systematically investigates the evolving ideological orientations among youth in private higher education institutions. Over a span of two years, it employs a rigorous longitudinal approach to analyze the underlying patterns shaping students' values, behavioral choices, and moral practices. Utilizing an integrated methodology-combining questionnaires, in-depth interviews, and behavioral observations-it reveals the core contradictions within current educational practices, such as imbalances in subject-interactions and disjointed cognitive-behavioral dynamics. Based on these findings, the study introduces an innovative pedagogical framework characterized by "dynamic grouping, tiered interventions, and diversified assessment". This framework aims to establish a flexible teaching mechanism and a sustainable monitoring system, providing both theoretical guidance and practical pathways for optimizing student development models. The research results offer valuable insights into enhancing the effectiveness of youth ideological education and refining tailored pedagogical strategies in private higher education settings.

Keywords: Ideological Trends; longitudinal Tracking; Educational Enhancement; Layered Interventions

1. Background and Value Proposition of the Study

China's private higher education sector has evolved into a vast and diverse academic ecosystem, constituting a significant component of the national education framework. As an integral part of the educational system, private institutions shoulder approximately 33% of undergraduate education responsibilities. The youth demographic within these institutions exhibits notable characteristics: a heterogeneous source of students, modern intergenerational differences in value cognition, and stratified educational needs[1]. Existing research indicates that this cohort displays pronounced generational disparities in ideological cognition, behavioral choices, and moral practices-manifesting as a form of "cognitive dissonance-behavioral disengagement". On one hand, their channels for information acquisition are heavily dependent on social media, leading to fragmented value judgments; on the other hand, under the dominance of instrumental rationality, their educational demands are markedly stratified[2]. Some students regard academic pursuits merely as a stepping stone to employment, exhibiting insufficient depth of ideological and political recognition. Therefore, the continuous monitoring of their ideological developments and precise interventions are critical to optimizing educational strategies.

The core value of this study is reflected in three dimensions: firstly, methodological innovation-by establishing a cross-cycle observation database to address the fragmentation of data in research on ideological education in private higher education; secondly, deepening theoretical understanding-by constructing a dynamic analysis model integrating "cognition-behavior-environment", thereby enriching the comprehension of the mechanisms underlying youth ideology

formation; thirdly, practical breakthroughs-by designing differentiated intervention programs tailored to the unique features of private education, facilitating a transition from broad, coarse management to precise, targeted cultivation.

2. Research Design and Methodological Innovation

2.1 Research Participants and Observational Framework

Centered on Guangzhou University of Applied Science and Technology, the study selects students from the 2021 to 2023 cohorts as the primary observational subjects. The research focuses on three core dimensions: Firstly, Evolution of Political Attitudes-utilizing indicators such as institutional recognition, willingness to participate in social activities, and the depth of policy understanding to analyze the transformation pathway from abstract cognition to tangible endorsement. Secondly, Characteristics of Value Decisions - employing moral dilemmas simulated through experiments (for example, the "Trolley Problem"), combined with observational data on consumption behaviors (such as donations to public welfare), to capture the dynamic patterns of value orientation adjustments. Thirdly, Trajectory of Moral Practices-integrating data from volunteer service records, classroom interaction metrics (including frequency of participation and depth of discussion), and academic integrity records, to construct a multidimensional behavioral transformation map.

2.2 Innovations in the Application of Mixed Methods

1. Longitudinal Tracking Design- This approach establishes a three-tiered data collection system comprising "baseline surveys", "semester-based observations", and "annual evaluations". It enables continuous monitoring of the same cohort over four consecutive semesters. The baseline survey captures students' initial ideological states shortly after enrollment. Semester observations record the immediate effects of curricular interventions, while annual evaluations assess the evolution of long-term behavioral changes. By analyzing the differences between data collected in the freshman and sophomore years-such as an increase in political participation from 34% to 51%-the design identifies critical periods and key moments when educational interventions are most effective.

2. Triangulation Validation Mechanism[3]- This multi-faceted validation process integrates three complementary methods: Quantitative Data: Utilizing an improved Youth Ideological Dynamics Scale, which measures three primary dimensions-political cognition, value judgment, and moral practice-across 22 secondary indicators. The scale demonstrates a high predictive reliability, with a pilot reliability coefficient of 0.86. Qualitative Data: Conducting in-depth interviews with 120 students, followed by a rigorous three-level coding analysis. This qualitative process extracts core themes such as "tool-rationality dominance", "field dependence", and "peer pressure", providing nuanced insights into students' ideological and moral landscapes. Behavioral Logs: Accumulating 360 hours of classroom observation data using detailed recording sheets. These logs capture students' speaking frequency, interaction quality, and attention fluctuations, offering concrete behavioral evidence of engagement and moral development.

3. Educational Experimental Validation- To verify intervention effectiveness, a parallel-class controlled experiment is conducted. The experimental group engages in "topic-centered discussions" combined with a "practical points system", focusing on contemporary issues like "Innovation in Grassroots Governance" and "Ethical Controversies in Technology". The control group maintains traditional teaching methods. At the semester's end, the experimental group significantly outperforms the control group-showing a 29% increase in value judgment consistency and a 37% increase in proactive moral behaviors. Notably, their capacity for rational decision-making in scenarios involving conflicts between individual and collective values demonstrates considerable improvement, underscoring the efficacy of the intervention.

3. Core Findings - Characteristics of the Evolution of Ideological Dynamics

3.1 Generational Divergence in Political Attitudes

1. Gradual Progression of Institutional Recognition: Among freshmen, understanding of political institutions predominantly stems from textbook descriptions, with abstract endorsement significantly surpassing concrete comprehension. For instance, in their grasp of the concept of "Whole Process People's Democracy", 62% of students could merely restate the definition, unable to relate it to real-world examples. Conversely, sophomores who had participated in grassroots governance surveys demonstrated a notable increase in tangible recognition, with 63% able to elucidate institutional advantages through examples such as community council discussions and online public opinion collection. This suggests that practical engagement serves as a vital catalyst for deepening understanding.

2. Instrumentalization of Participatory Behaviors: While the overall volunteerism participation rate reaches 69%, 58% of students admit that their involvement is primarily motivated by pragmatic benefits-such as "preferential recommendations", "internship opportunities", or "enhanced resumes". This utilitarian approach is particularly prevalent among students in fields under substantial employment pressure. One student candidly remarked, "Participating in community service increases my chances of recommendation prospects, which feels more tangible than high marks in class." Such motivations reflect a dissonance between educational aims and actual behavior, illustrating the erosion of value-based rationality by instrumental rationality.

3.2 Tensional Contradictions in Value Choices

1. Cognitive Conflict Between Individual and Collective Interests: In decisions prioritizing "personal development", 71% of freshmen endorse this view, compared to only 53% of sophomores. Longitudinal analysis reveals that students involved in team-based projects-such as innovation and entrepreneurship competitions-are more likely to reconstruct their value hierarchies. One team leader stated: "Initially, I just wanted to win awards, but during problem-solving, I realized that teamwork's value far exceeds personal accolades." Coupled with coursework and social practice, some students begin to reevaluate their value priorities, demonstrating the gradual influence of educational interventions.

2. Disconnection Between Online and Offline Engagement[4]: In the digital sphere, 67% of students actively participate in discussions on public issues like environmental conservation and educational equity, demonstrating a strong "digital citizenship" consciousness. However, in real-world settings, only 34% voluntarily participate in student council elections or club management. This phenomenon of "keyboard activism" underscores the contextual dependence of value enactment. In-depth interviews reveal that students perceive online discussion as "low-risk and effective", whereas offline participation entails "tedious procedures and slow feedback", leading to behavioral divergence across domains.

3.3 Disparity between Moral Knowledge and Practice

1. Gap Between Normative Awareness and Behavioral Choices[5]: An overwhelming 92% of students affirm the importance of integrity standards; nonetheless, 41% admit to negligent behaviors in group assignments. Deep interviews indicate that peer pressure ("not wanting to seem isolated") and assessment-driven motives ("teachers only pay attention to the final report") are primary incentives for such misconduct. One student confessed: "Although I know I should work independently, seeing other group members copy-pasting made me conform to the trend."

2. Marginal Effects of Traditional Moral Education: The effectiveness of conventional didactic moral instruction diminishes over the course of the semester. Initially, at enrollment, moral endorsement reaches 78%, but by the second semester of sophomore year, it declines to 52%. In contrast, classes employing situational experiential teaching methods-such as "ethics theatre", where students role-play moral dilemmas-maintain moral internalization rates above 72%. A participant reflected: "Acting as a grassroots official allowed me to genuinely understand what it means to bear responsibility."

4. Practical Pathways for Educational

Enhancement

4.1 Construction of a Resilient Collaborative Teaching Community

1. Dynamic Grouping Mechanism: The traditional large lecture setting has been reimagined into a flexible pedagogical framework comprising a "Core Discussion Group (40–50 students)" alongside an "Observation and Feedback Group" [6]. Within this structure, the core group undertakes in-depth exploration of specific topics-such as "Ethics of Artificial Intelligence"-while the observation group actively participates through real-time note-taking and the maintenance of reflective journals. Role rotations at three-week intervals facilitate equitable opportunities, ensuring that each student engages in substantive discourse multiple times per semester. Pilot initiatives have demonstrated that participation rates in courses like Ideology and Ethics + Rule of Law have doubled, alongside significant enhancements in the logical rigor of students' argumentation.

2. Dual-Teacher Collaborative Mentorship: A teaching team integrating "Theoretical Mentors" and "Practical Mentors" has been established, inviting community workers and corporate professionals with relevant experience to infuse real-world issues such as "Grassroots Governance Case Studies" and "Corporate Ethical Dilemmas" into classroom activities. These topics serve as catalysts for students' proposal development and decision-making exercises. Evaluation results indicate that students' decision-making skills have improved by approximately 30%, with a 20% increase observed in their clarity regarding career planning.

4.2 Innovation of a Sustainable Observation System

1. Implementation of Digital Profiling Technologies[7]: A "Thought Dynamics Monitoring Platform" has been developed to amalgamate data streams-including classroom engagement, online discussions, and practical performance-generating individual developmental trajectories and collective trend maps. The system's algorithms can detect behavioral anomalies, like a student's consistent absence from discussions over three weeks combined with unusually similar

assignments, thereby activating early warning protocols[8]. Such mechanisms achieved an accuracy rate exceeding 75%, facilitating timely educator interventions to address potential cognitive biases before they become entrenched.

2. Three-Tiered Early Warning Response Framework[9]: The framework includes an initial response wherein tailored learning resources are provided to students demonstrating cognitive biases; an intermediate stage involving the organization of small reflection groups (6–8 students) that promote peer-led discussions and mutual reflection; and an advanced level where psychologists, counselors, and mentors collaboratively formulate intervention strategies to support students with more complex needs.

4.3 Enhancement of a Multidimensional Evaluation Ecosystem

1. Reform of Process-Oriented Assessments: An evaluative structure emphasizing "Cognitive Engagement (40%), Practice Transformation (30%), and Ethical Decision-Making (30%)" has been implemented within pilot courses. This approach incorporates innovative assessment formats, including case analysis presentations-such as rural revitalization projects-practical achievement exhibitions-displaying volunteer service outcomes-and simulated ethical dilemmas involving environmental management conflicts. Following this reform, the proportion of students excelling in the application of theoretical knowledge increased by roughly 50%.

2. Development of Growth Portfolios: Personalized, semester-spanning development archives have been instituted for each student[10], chronicling key milestones-including leadership roles in group discussions, reflections on community service experiences, and recognition received through awards for volunteer initiatives. Analytical data indicates that the second semester of freshman year emerges as a "sensitive period" for value cognition transformation, while the first semester of the sophomore year often witnesses "practical fatigue", underscoring the necessity for pedagogical strategies that adapt to these developmental phases to enhance

guidance and student engagement[11].

5. Conclusions and Prospects

This study, through sustained longitudinal tracking, has elucidated the developmental patterns of young people's ideological dynamics within private higher education institutions. It affirms the efficacy of strategies such as flexible pedagogies, long-term observational systems, dynamic grouping, and digital profiling in augmenting educational effectiveness. On a theoretical level, the constructed "Cognition-Behavior-Environment" analytical framework offers novel insights into the mechanisms underpinning youth value formation; practically, the low-cost intervention schemes proposed provide feasible pathways for private universities to address resource constraints. Looking ahead, further investigations may delve into three primary avenues: first, the development of intelligent decision-making models that leverage machine learning algorithms to forecast ideological trends by analyzing behavioral data, thereby identifying intervention opportunities proactively; second, the establishment of cross-institutional collaborative mechanisms, promoting the formation of regional data-sharing alliances among private universities to expand the applicability and universality of research findings; third, the deepening of intergenerational comparative studies to monitor the ideological and behavioral traits of the "Post-2005" cohort, and to predict the profound impacts of digital transformation on value education. Ultimately, the refinement of ideological and political education within private universities embodies a continuous evolutionary process-an endeavor that requires educators to steadfastly uphold the core mission of value guidance while simultaneously embracing technological advancements and methodological innovation. Only through the harmonious integration of theoretical research and practical innovation can the cultivation of a new generation imbued with both a profound sense of patriotism and robust practical capabilities be genuinely realized.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] Feng Zhaojian, Yang Ziyuan. The Generation Logic, Epochal Characteristics, and Pedagogical Approaches to the Employment Values of Post-2000 University Students. Education Review, 2025, 3: 86-98.

[2] Li Ning. A Study on the Ideological Trends and Behavioral Traits of University Students in the New Era// In: Proceedings of the 21st Shenyang Science and Academic Conference-Outstanding Papers in Social Sciences. Shengyang Conservatory of Music, 2024: 154-158.

[3] Sun Dongshan, Gao Jirui. Practical Exploration on Universities Grasping the Ideological Dynamics of College Students. Journal of Weifang Engineering Vocational College, 2025, 38(01): 11-16.

[4] Yun Di, Zhang Yuqing. Research on the Ideological Trends, Cognitive Causes, and Ideological and Political Work among Youth in the Era of Digital Intelligence. Youth Research, 2024, 2: 69-75.

[5] Zhou Hui. The Dilemmas and Strategies of Moral Practice Education among Contemporary University Students. Journal of Educational Science of Hunan Normal University, 2016, 15(01): 71-74.

[6] Cao Shun. Connotation, basic requirements and practical direction of differentiated teaching of Ideological and political courses in colleges and universities. University, 2025, (06):81-85.

[7] Zhang Qiang. Student portraits, dynamic monitoring, and behavior prediction: Research on the innovation of Ideological and political work in colleges and universities in the era of big dat. modern education science, 2019, (04):65-69.

[8] Jiao Litao. Research on the ideological and political education of college students enabled by artificial intelligence. Shandong Normal University, 2023

[9] Liang Hao, Sun hongjing. Build a dynamic early warning mechanism for college students' thoughts and grasp the initiative in the field of ideology. Shanxi youth, 2018, (11):225.

[10] Xu Yan. Application of big data portrait technology in Ideological and political education in colleges and universities. academic theory, 2017, (07): 241-242+251.

[11] Liu Cheng, Chen Chen, Shi Xiaoming, et al. Research on the dynamic characteristics of College Students' thoughts after "00" from the perspective of teacher student community- Based on the empirical research and analysis of college students in Shanghai. research on the Communist Youth League in Colleges and universities, 2020, (Z2):84-90.