The Impact of Digital Transformation on the Paradigm Shift of Laboratory Safety Management in Universities

Qi Zhang, Ting Tong, Hui Jiang, Han Chen*
College of Tourism, Beijing Union University, Beijing, China
**Corresponding Author.*

Abstract: Digital transformation has had a profound impact on the paradigm shift of laboratory safety management in universities. This study systematically analyzes its connotation, technical characteristics and applications, and reveals the trend of management mode shifting from traditional manual operation to intelligent and data-driven. Traditional laboratory safety management relies on manual inspections and paper records, which is inefficient and lags behind in risk prevention and control. Digital technology optimizes management processes through the integration of the Internet of Things, big data and artificial intelligence, realizes real-time monitoring and precise prevention and control of risks, and improves the safety awareness of teachers and students through innovative training methods such as virtual reality. The study further explores the management opportunities brought about by digital transformation, such as efficiency improvement and resource optimization, and analyzes potential risks such as data security, technology costs and teacher and student adaptability. In response to these challenges, adaptive adjustment strategies for system optimization, technical support and differentiated management are proposed. This study summarizes the role of digital transformation in promoting the paradigm shift in laboratory safety management, looks forward to future research directions such as scenario-based applications, data security and cultural impact, and proposes practical suggestions for policy support, technical training and cross-departmental collaboration, providing theoretical and practical references for universities to build an efficient and safe laboratory management system.

Keywords: Digital Transformation; Laboratory Safety Management; University Management; Intelligent Technology; Risk Prevention and Control

1. Introduction

1.1 Research Background and Significance

With the fast growth of higher education, the importance of university laboratories, as the central venues for scientific research and talent cultivation, keeps growing for safety management. Recurrent laboratory safety incidents not only endanger the safety of teachers and students, but also potentially cause extensive property losses and disruption of scientific research. To say nothing of the fact that laboratory safety is closely related to the safety of teachers and students, it is possible for laboratory safety incidents to cause a series of catastrophic consequences, from destroying valuable instruments and reagents to severely damaging the reputation of an institution. For instance, laboratory explosions and fires caused by incorrect operation or equipment malfunction in chemical and biological laboratories revealed the incompleteness of traditional safety management models [1]. In the last few years, digital transformation swept through every walk of industrial life, and optimizing process with techniques of big-data, artificial intelligence, and Internet of Things has become a key means of improving efficiency and safety. In the context of university laboratory safety management, digital technology enabled precise surveillance, warning, and prevention of risks, and has triggered a revolutionary change in the safety management thinking [2]. More specifically, in new fields like psychology and material sciences laboratories, the injection of costly instruments and apparatus further exacerbated safety risks, and an urgency for the introduction of intelligent means arose to counter complicated safety threats [3]. The following piece of research is dedicated to the effects of digital transformation on the safety management of universities' laboratories, seeking paths for new modes of management and offering theories and practices for constructing an efficient and secure laboratory atmosphere.

The significance of studying digital transformation on university laboratory safety

management is that it can not only make up for the shortcomings of traditional management models, but also promote the development of safety management in an intelligent and systematic direction. By building a data-based risk assessment system and intelligent monitoring platform, universities can achieve real-time perception and dynamic management of laboratory safety hazards, thereby reducing the accident rate [4]. In addition, digital transformation helps to improve laboratory management efficiency, optimize resource allocation, and provide stable support for scientific research activities. At the policy level, the Ministry of Education and other departments have repeatedly emphasized the strengthening of laboratory safety management. Digital transformation is in line with policy orientation and has practical guiding value [5]. This study analyzes the application effect of digital technology in laboratory safety management, provides university managers with actionable strategies, promotes the construction of laboratory safety culture, and thus safeguards the high-quality development of higher education.

1.2 Current Status of Domestic and Foreign Research

Foreign countries started early in the application of digital technology in the field of laboratory safety management in universities, and their research focused on the deep integration of intelligent technology and safety management. American universities generally adopt laboratory safety monitoring systems based on the Internet of Things, which collect environmental data in real time through sensors and combine artificial intelligence algorithms for risk prediction. For example, MIT has developed an intelligent laboratory management system that uses big data analysis to optimize the storage and use of chemicals, significantly reducing the safety accident rate[6]. European universities focus on the informatization of laboratory safety education and have developed a virtual reality (VR) training platform to help teachers and students master safety operating specifications in a simulated environment[7]. However, foreign research has mostly focused on technology development and single-point application, lacking a systematic discussion on the overall transformation of the safety management paradigm under digital transformation, and the high cost of technology implementation makes it difficult to promote in universities with limited resources[8].

In recent years, domestic research has made certain progress in combining digital transformation with laboratory safety management. Scholars have explored the application of technologies such as the Internet of Things and cloud computing in laboratory safety management. For example, the design of a university laboratory safety management information platform based on GIS has realized the visualization management of laboratory space information [9]. In addition, some studies have analyzed the research hotspots of laboratory safety management by combining tools such as CiteSpace, pointing out that information management is the future trend [10]. However, there are still shortcomings in domestic research: first, the application of technology is mostly in the pilot stage, lacking large-scale promotion cases; second, there is little discussion on the management paradigm change brought about by digital transformation, and the theoretical framework is not yet mature. Compared with foreign countries, domestic universities have a gap in funding and technical maturity in digital technology, but the policy support is relatively strong, providing a broad space for follow-up research. In the future, it is necessary to further integrate domestic and foreign experiences to build a digital safety management model that adapts to the actual situation of Chinese universities.

2. The Connotation and Characteristics of Digital Transformation

2.1 Conceptual Definition of Digital Transformation

Digital transformation is the integration of new technologies including big data, AI, and IoT to change an enterprise's management, process, and value creation to make way for intelligent, information-driven operation. To laboratory safety management in a university, it is about using data to digitize and optimize safety processes, from paperwork and manual checks to smart alerts and real-time data. It enhances efficiency and instills a culture of safety. Traditional digitization is not the same as digital transformation, which targets complete incorporation of data and technology for the creation of responsive, predictive systems of management, eliminating information silos, and improving agility. It is a dynamic process and has both managerial and technological and cultural implications, providing a framework for the selection of technologies and the creation of innovations in laboratory safety. Academic

laboratories, being process and chemically-intensive, require extensive, real-time safety management. Digital transformation fulfills this through systems based on data, e.g., intelligent sensors for ambient surveillance and AI for prediction of danger. It also puts a premium on human factors by providing intelligent training for safety awareness. Success relies on planning and integration of technology, beyond tools to redefining culture and management, and ensuring safety and efficiency.

2.2 Core Technologies of Digital Transformation

Digital transformation is dependent on big data, AI, IoT, and cloud computing. Big data examines lab environment, equipment, and personnel information to detect and forecast risks, including chemical leaks. AI applies machine learning in support of decisions, e.g., the creation of safety plans or the identification of anomalies. IoT provides instant monitoring of temperature, humidity, and gas concentration through sensors, providing complete gathering of data. Cloud computing facilitates the co-management of labs, reducing costs with strong storage and processing. These technologies collectively advance lab safety intelligence. For example, AI and IoT forecast equipment failures, minimizing accident occurrences. But issues related to data standardization and system compatibility need to be tackled. Laboratories are different by discipline, with chemical laboratories requiring more stringent gas tracking than IT laboratories, so technology has to be customized for particular purposes. Universities need to support dynamic technology innovations in order to maintain transformation.

2.3 Application in University Management

Digital transformation within the governance of universities also includes laboratory safety, optimizing risk surveillance to emergency response using intelligent platforms. IoT-based systems use timely environmental information, analyzed in cloud platforms for immediate response to risks. AI-based VR training facilitates simulation of unsafe conditions, enhancing safety ability. These platforms are more efficient and reduce human-error-related accidents. Transformation also changes paradigms in management. Big data enhances equipment scheduling, precluding the dangers of overloading, and cross-department information sharing bridge information silos. High costs and low technology acceptability among staff and students are threats. Policy and training need to encourage adoption by universities. As technology develops and costs decline, laboratory safety will further be enhanced through digital transformation, supporting intelligent, sustainable governance environments.

3. Evolution of Laboratory Safety Management in Universities

3.1 Traditional Laboratory Safety Management Model

Legacy laboratory safety management in universities is based on institutional policies and manual operations, with an emphasis on repairing things after an incident rather than prevention. Compliance is managed by lab directors through experience, checks on equipment, and rules to regulate behavior. Safety training is typically through lectures or in manuals and lacks customized instruction for different laboratories such as chemistry or biology. Environmental monitoring relies on infrequent manual logs of temperatures, humidity, or gas levels, open to human error. Cost-effective for low-resource institutions, this is an inefficient process with a lack of near-real-time data and reliance on individual diligence. Delays in danger zones with chemicals or precision instruments in high-risk situations will initiate a fire, explosion, or destruction. Paper-based record-keeping inhibits information transmission and cross-department collaboration. As laboratories in universities scale and become more complicated, this traditional model fails to keep up with contemporary safety, forcing universities towards automated, systematic models in keeping with digital transformation.

3.2 Driving Factors for Paradigm Shift

Several drivers fuel the evolution of safety management in universities. At a national level, policy enhances safety levels, forcing universities to systematize safety from a policy perspective. Technological innovations in digital technologies, e.g., big data, IoT, and AI, support dynamic surveillance, data analyses, and prediction, enhancing efficiency and precision. Frequent laboratory incidents, e.g., fire or leakage of harmful substances, expose deficiencies in traditional models and force upgrades. Demands from teaching and research staff, and learners, for safe working and learning environments drive the move from a compliance to an active prevention approach, with a focus on safety culture. Enhanced complexity in mixed hardware and

hazardous laboratories demands dynamic, customized safety controls. Globalization also plays a role by promoting convergence towards international norms, typically applied through digital platforms. All drivers force a switch from manual, reactive safety models to intelligent, data-driven systems, towards advanced, sustainable safety models.

3.3 Challenges in Current Laboratory Safety Management

University laboratory safety management is facing a series of challenges. Low degrees of informatization, hard copy documentation, or separate spreadsheets, generate siloed data with consequent delays in detecting hazards and collaboration. Unequal awareness of safety by personnel and students leads to uneven compliance, e.g., in the use of safety equipment or storage of substances, enhancing the threat of human error. Various types of laboratories, i.e., chemical, biological, physical, generate different hazards, e.g., toxic gases or electromagnetic radiation, which cannot be dealt with uniformly. Implementation of digital technologies incurs a disproportionate cost of deploying and maintaining IoT, as well as issues related to information security and individual privacy. Lack of resources or capabilities may preclude smart systems implementation, sensor malfunction, or leaks of information undermining dependability. Scattered management between separate teaching, logistical, and research departments creates fuzzy lines of accountability and inefficiencies. Obsolescence, in most cases incompatibility of existing equipment, introduces additional risks. All this requires a coordinated approach in technology renewal, integration of systems, training, and culture change to enable broad, long-lasting safety management in the digital age.

4. Impact of Digital Transformation on Laboratory Safety Management

4.1 Optimization and Reconstruction of Management Processes

Digital transformation essentially enhances the safety management of university laboratories by using technologies such as big data, IoT, and AI to move away from manual, disjointed processes towards automated, integrated systems. Historically, safety management relied on time-consuming inspections and paper records, which were slow, error-ridden, and plagued by departmental silos. For example, lab managers manually certified storage of chemicals or conditions of laboratories, with results delayed or unavailable between departments, and impeding hazard resolution. Digital transformation brings integrated platforms that simplify data gathering, analysis, and sharing. IoT sensors continuously track environmental conditions, equipment performance, and experiment activities, uploading the information to cloud-based platforms. Managers examine real-time information through user-friendly dashboards, facilitating quicker, well-informed decisions. This cuts down on human errors, raises efficiency, and lessens risks from lapses. The transformation also enables cross-department collaboration. Academic, logistics, and research units are linked by digital platforms, with clearly assigned tasks and swift reaction times. For instance, if equipment breaks down, it automatically alerts the logistics to conduct a repair, and violations of chemical storage alert safety officers in an instant. Simplified, yet adaptable, processes accommodate a variety of needs in the lab—chemical laboratories require ventilation, while biological laboratories require containment. Emergency response functionality is enhanced as the systems utilize historical data to conduct simulation exercises, for instance, fires or leaks, and produce customized response plans to reduce response times. Drawbacks are the high cost of technology implementation and differences in technical skill levels among personnel, and low-resource universities. Regardless, the streamlined processes improve precision in managing, facilitate effective and protected working, and create a solid foundation for an optimal laboratory safety culture.

4.2 Intelligent Monitoring and Risk Prevention

Digital transformation for laboratory safety is through intelligent observation and active prevention of hazards, from reactive, manual checks to predictive, 24/7 systems. Periodic traditional checks regularly overlooked immediate hazards, including gas leaks or system failure, occurring between checks. Modern IoT sensor grids monitor critical parameters---temperature, humidity, levels of harmful substances---in real-time and report readings to AI platforms. These systems monitor trends, spot anomalies, and predict threats, including potential spills or system failures, by following previous trends. Multi-level, automatic notifications reach managers and lab workers in an instant, facilitating a prompt response. These systems operate continuously, not merely during manned work hours, 24/7, including overnight or

holiday when manned, thus reducing surprise hazards. Risk prevention is more effective with big data models that tailor strategies by laboratory type. Biological laboratories monitor for pathogen leaks, while physical laboratories focus on electromagnetic radiation or safety for high-voltage devices. Machinery operation also enhances---sensors monitor performance, for example, for overload or wear, and are able to conduct automatic shutdowns to prevent incidents. Visual dashboards provide managers unequivocal, real-time views of lab safety status, facilitating easier risk management. Drawbacks are the cost of sensor maintenance, security for the data, and potential system disruption by network issues or hacking. Some universities lack the technical infrastructure for optimal system optimization. However, intelligent observation and prevention significantly improve lab safety, facilitating dynamic, proactive administration and pushing future safety levels.

4.3 Changes in Personnel Training and Awareness

Laboratory safety training and awareness are revolutionizing through digital transformation from tedious, one-size-fits-all methods to engaging, tailored approaches that establish a robust safety culture. Older approaches relied on instruction manuals or lectures, which were typically unengaging and not well-suited for laboratory-specific hazards, leaving workers with bad safety habits. Emerging technologies in the form of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) create interactive training environments in which users engage in safe practice in managing hazardous situations—such as a chemical spill or exposure to high-voltage equipment. Such platforms tailor material by laboratory types, for example, for the process of sterilization in biological laboratories or for the process of radiation in physical laboratories. AI-based systems observe trainees, track areas they need to improve, with personalized suggestions, for example, in improving erroneous techniques or related lessons. Behavioral awareness is strengthened by evidence-based intelligence. Automated systems detect unsafe behavior, for example, lack of safety gear or unsafe handling of chemicals, and send notifications or micro-training for improving behavior. Internet-based platforms enhance flexible, on-demand learning, eliminating the restriction of scheduled sessions. Gamification in the form of safety quizzes or simulated challenges enhances participation, especially among students. High capital costs for VR/AR devices and reluctance from staff not conversant with digital technologies, nevertheless, are restraining factors. Students learn new technologies within a short period, yet some staff are demotivated or lack technical know-how. Emerging safety training technologies, in the midst of challenge, enhance safety literacy, foster pro-active safety behavior, and enhance a prevention culture, resulting in long-term safety achievements in laboratory safety administration.

5. Opportunities and Challenges of Digital Transformation

5.1 Management Opportunities Brought by Digital Technology

Digital transformation has brought significant management opportunities for laboratory safety management in universities, and promoted the development of management mode towards intelligence and precision. With the help of technologies such as the Internet of Things, big data and artificial intelligence, laboratories can achieve digital management of the entire process, thereby improving efficiency and safety. For example, the real-time monitoring system collects environmental data through a sensor network, and managers can grasp the status of the laboratory at any time through the cloud platform, quickly respond to potential hidden dangers, and reduce the inefficiency and omissions of manual inspections. Digital technology also supports data-driven decision-making. The risk analysis model based on historical data can predict equipment failures or experimental operation risks, providing a basis for formulating accurate management strategies. This intelligent management not only reduces the incidence of safety accidents, but also optimizes resource allocation. For example, through the analysis of equipment operation data, maintenance plans can be reasonably arranged to extend the life of instruments. Digital transformation also promotes the construction of laboratory safety culture. Through the virtual reality training platform, teachers and students can master high-risk operation skills in a simulated environment, and safety awareness is continuously strengthened. In addition, digital technology breaks down the information barriers between departments. The academic affairs, logistics and scientific research departments achieve collaborative management through a shared platform, which improves the overall management efficiency. At the policy level, digital transformation is in line with the

country's high standards for laboratory safety. Colleges and universities can demonstrate their management level through technological innovation and strive for more policy support and resource investment. These opportunities have transformed laboratory management from traditional passive response to active prevention, providing broad space for colleges and universities to build a modern and sustainable safety management system, and creating favorable conditions for the stable development of scientific research and teaching activities.

5.2 Potential Risks in Technology Applications

Although digital transformation brings many opportunities for laboratory safety management, its technology application is also accompanied by potential risks, which need to be handled with caution. First, data security issues are particularly prominent. IoT sensors and cloud platforms store a large amount of laboratory data, including environmental parameters and operation records. Once they are attacked by a network or data is leaked, sensitive information may be leaked or even maliciously used to interfere with laboratory operations. Second, technology dependence increases system vulnerability. For example, sensor failure or network interruption may cause the monitoring system to fail, affecting the real-time nature of risk prevention and control. The deployment and maintenance costs of digital technology are high. Some universities are unable to fully implement it due to insufficient funds. The regular updating of equipment and the training of professional technicians have further aggravated financial pressure. In addition, the complexity of technology application may cause management problems. Digital systems need to be compatible with different types of laboratories, but the operating environments of chemical and biological laboratories vary greatly. General systems may not meet specific needs, resulting in reduced application effects. The lack of acceptance of new technologies by teachers and students is also a major risk. Some personnel are not proficient in the operation of intelligent systems due to lack of technical background, and even have resistance, which affects the promotion and use efficiency of the system. Ethical issues in technology application should not be ignored. For example, over-reliance on automated monitoring may weaken the importance of manual judgment and reduce managers' sensitivity to potential risks. These risks indicate that digital transformation needs to strike a balance in technology selection, system design, and personnel training to ensure the stability and security of technology application.

5.3 Adaptive Adjustment of University Laboratory Management

Faced with the opportunities and risks brought by digital transformation, university laboratory management needs to make adaptive adjustments to ensure the effectiveness of technology application and the sustainable development of management. First, colleges and universities should optimize management systems, formulate implementation roadmaps for digital transformation, and clarify the priorities of technology deployment and resource allocation plans, such as giving priority to equipping high-risk laboratories with intelligent monitoring systems. Secondly, it is crucial to improve the technical support system. Colleges and universities need to establish professional technical teams to be responsible for the installation, maintenance and upgrade of the system, and at the same time strengthen data security management and reduce the risk of leakage through encryption technology and permission control. Personnel training is the core of adaptive adjustment. Colleges and universities should design tiered training plans to provide technical guidance of different depths for managers, technical personnel and ordinary teachers and students to help them master the operation of digital systems. In addition, colleges and universities need to promote the transformation of management culture, encourage teachers and students to actively participate in digital management, and strengthen safety awareness, such as through incentive mechanisms to encourage standardized operations and system use. The differentiated strategy of laboratory management also needs to be strengthened. According to the characteristics of laboratories such as chemistry, biology, and physics, customized configuration technology solutions should be configured to ensure the applicability and accuracy of the system. The establishment of a cross-departmental collaboration mechanism is equally important. Colleges and universities should set up a laboratory safety management committee to coordinate the academic affairs, logistics and scientific research departments, clarify the division of responsibilities, and improve collaborative efficiency. In the case of limited resources, colleges and universities can explore cooperation with enterprises or governments to accelerate digital transformation by introducing external funds and technical

support. These adaptive adjustments can help colleges and universities balance the opportunities and risks of technology application, build a management system that matches the digital transformation, and provide guarantees for the long-term development of laboratory safety management.

6. Conclusion

Digital transformation has had a profound impact on the transformation of the laboratory safety management paradigm in colleges and universities, significantly improving management efficiency and safety. The traditional management model relies on manual operation, which is inefficient and lags behind in risk prevention and control. Digital technology has achieved the optimization of management processes, intelligent risk monitoring and innovation of personnel training through the application of the Internet of Things, big data and artificial intelligence. The information platform breaks down departmental barriers and promotes collaborative management; the intelligent monitoring system realizes real-time risk warning and reduces the accident rate; virtual reality training enhances the safety awareness of teachers and students. These changes have promoted the transformation of laboratory safety management from passive response to active prevention, which meets the needs of the modernization of colleges and universities. However, digital transformation also faces challenges such as data security, technology costs and adaptability of teachers and students, which need to be addressed through system optimization and technical support. This study analyzes the connotation, core technology and application of digital transformation in laboratory management, reveals its driving role in the change of management paradigm, and provides theoretical and practical references for laboratory safety management in colleges and universities.

References

[1] Comeaga, M. L.: Digital transformation of the laboratories. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 1268, 012001(2022).

[2] Zhang, Z.: Construction of Evaluation Index System for Comprehensive Management of University Laboratory Safety. Academic Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences, (2023).

[3] Yang, J., Xuan, S., Hu, Y., Liu, X., Bian, M., Chen, L., Lv, S., Wang, P., Li, R., Zhang, J., Shu, C., Dou, Z.: The framework of safety management on university laboratory. Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, (2022).

[4] Zhang, Y.: Investigation on Normal University Laboratory Management under Strategic Transformation. Modern Educational Technology, (2010).

[5] Ku, F.: Reflections on Laboratory Safety in Universities. Research and Exploration in Laboratory, (2013).

[6] Zhang, Z.: Research on Intelligent Control Model of Laboratory Safety Risks in Colleges and Universities Based on Digital Twins. Archives des Sciences, (2024).

[7] Zhen, L., Shan-en, Y., Zhangping, C., Weihua, S.: Laboratory Safety Management System Based on Internet of Things. (2018), 16, pp. 171-174.

[8] Su, L.: Construction of University Laboratory Safety Management System. Journal of Innovation and Development, (2022).

[9] Xi, M.: Construction of Digital Network Platform for Laboratory Safety and Management in Colleges and Universities. (2019).

[10] Zhang, D.: Study and Exploration on Safety Management System of University Laboratory. Research and Exploration in Laboratory, (2010).

