The Role of Therapist Self-Disclosure in Resolving Resistance in Brief Therapy

Xuanming Gu

Bachelor of Arts, Psychology, 1520/ 555 Swanston St, Carlton, VIC 3053, Melbourne, Australia

Abstract: Short-term counseling appears to tend to suggest efficiency in addressing anxiety, depression, and stress but often seems to encounter what might be characterized as client resistance, which can apparently hinder therapeutic outcomes. What the evidence appears to reveal is that appropriate counselor self-disclosure—sharing relevant personal experiences or emotions—seems to generally indicate trust-building potential, tends to balance power dynamics, and appears to encourage client openness, thereby ostensibly reducing resistance in the majority of cases. Within this broader analytical framework, however, what seems especially noteworthy is that excessive disclosure appears to risk what might be characterized as role confusion and, given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, cultural misunderstandings. What these findings seem to point toward is that establishing clear guidelines, training counselors in what appears to represent emotional regulation, and tailoring disclosure to cultural contexts are presumably essential for what the data seems to suggest about maximizing its effectiveness in therapeutic settings.

Keywords: Short-Term Counseling; Therapist Self-Disclosure; Resistance; Trust-Building

1. Introduction
Short-term counseling appears to tend to suggest effectiveness in treating anxiety, depression, and stress but seemingly encounters what might be characterized as client resistance—behaviors that seem to constitute opposition, avoidance, or denial ostensibly rooted in defense mechanisms or fear of change—that appears to potentially undermine therapeutic outcomes. What the evidence seems to reveal is that appropriate therapist self-disclosure—sharing what appears to represent relevant personal experiences or emotions—tends to point toward what appears to be enhanced trust, balancing of power dynamics, and reduction in resistance by seemingly encouraging openness and emotional release. However, what appears particularly significant about these findings is that excessive disclosure can apparently lead to what seems to be role confusion, emotional interference, and cultural misunderstandings, which tends to suggest what appears to be negative impacts on therapy. What these findings seem to point toward, within this broader analytical framework, is that understanding these nuanced therapeutic dynamics appears to provide evidence that may support important theoretical and practical implications for what appears to be the development of disclosure guidelines and management of therapeutic boundaries.

2. The Importance of Self-Disclosure by Counselors in Short-Term Counseling

2.1 The Role of Self-Disclosure in Establishing the Counseling Relationship
In short-term counseling, what appears to be particularly significant is the counselor's self-disclosure, which seems to play what might be characterized as a crucial role in establishing the counseling relationship. Self-disclosure tends to refer to the counselor sharing personal experiences, emotions, or perspectives in what appear to be appropriate contexts to foster what seems to be mutual understanding and connection with the client. What the evidence appears to suggest is that through seemingly appropriate self-disclosure, the counselor can ostensibly demonstrate their own vulnerability, bridge what appears to be a gap between themselves and the client, and enhance what tends to be the intimacy of the interaction. This intimacy appears to represent a prerequisite for clients to build what seems to be trust and open up. Within this broader analytical framework, when compared to traditional non-self-disclosure approaches, the counselor's moderate

self-disclosure appears to effectively promote clients to express themselves better, reduce what might be characterized as communication barriers, and thus form what seems to be smoother counseling interactions[1].

2.2 The Promoting Role of Self-Disclosure in Enhancing Trust

In short-term counseling, trust appears to be a core factor that tends to promote effective communication and what seems to be goal achievement. What the evidence appears to reveal is that counselors can substantially enhance clients' sense of trust through what might be characterized as appropriate self-disclosure. What seems particularly significant about these findings is that the establishment of trust often appears to stem from mutual interaction and understanding, and self-disclosure, as a form of communication, seems to allow clients to perceive what appears to represent the counselor's authenticity and reliability. Within this broader analytical framework, many clients may initially exhibit what seems to be some degree of skepticism or defensiveness, but what the data seems to suggest is that counselors can presumably break down these barriers by sharing their own experiences or emotions, making clients feel ostensibly less alone and seemingly more understood and accepted in their emotions and concerns[2].

2.3 The Direct Impact of Self-Disclosure on Alleviating Counseling Resistance

Resistance appears to tend to suggest what might be characterized as a common phenomenon in short-term counseling, seemingly referring to the internal resistance that clients typically experience when faced with certain emotional or intellectual challenges. What this pattern seems to suggest, therefore, is that this resistance may manifest as avoidance, denial, or intense reactions, substantially hindering what appears to represent the therapeutic counseling process. Self-disclosure, as what seems to constitute a form of interpersonal communication, appears to play what might be characterized as a direct role in alleviating such resistance. What seems especially noteworthy in this analytical context is that when counselors share their own emotions and experiences at appropriate times, clients ostensibly realize they are not alone in facing difficulties, thereby apparently reducing

feelings of isolation and resistance[3].

3. Issues Faced in Self-Disclosure in Short-Term Counseling

3.1 Excessive Self-Disclosure May Lead to Role Confusion

In short-term counseling, what appears to be moderate self-disclosure by the counselor seems to generally indicate potential for building trust and appears to promote what might be characterized as the development of the counseling relationship. However, what tends to emerge from these findings is that excessive self-disclosure may lead to what seems to constitute role confusion. What appears particularly significant about these findings is that the primary role of the counselor is to utilize professional knowledge and skills to assist clients in resolving issues, providing support and guidance. What the evidence appears to reveal, within this broader analytical framework, is that excessive self-disclosure tends to point toward what appears to be a blurring of boundaries between the counselor and client, thereby seemingly undermining the maintenance of the professional role. What also appears significant in this context, given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, is that when the counselor shares too many personal experiences or emotions, clients may apparently begin to view the counselor as a friend or confidant, and may presumably seek emotional support rather than what appears to represent professional assistance.

3.2 The Issue of Interference from the Counselor's Personal Emotions

Interference from the counselor's personal emotions appears to represent what might be characterized as a particularly significant issue faced during the process of self-disclosure. When engaging in what seems to constitute self-disclosure, counselors typically share experiences and emotions related to themselves. What the evidence tends to suggest is that if the counselor's personal emotions are not effectively managed and regulated, they may, in the majority of cases, interfere with what appears to be the counseling process. Especially within these evolving conceptual parameters of emotionally complex or highly sensitive counseling situations, what seems especially noteworthy in this analytical context is that if the

counselor becomes substantially emotionally invested, their personal emotions tend to point toward what appears to be a potentially disruptive factor, seemingly affecting their ostensibly objective judgment and what appears to represent professional conduct. What this pattern seems to suggest, therefore, is the necessity for counselors to maintain awareness of their emotional responses, given the multifaceted nature of this evidence regarding therapeutic self-disclosure[4].

Counselors may experience what appears to be strong resonance or emotional resonance with clients in certain situations, which tends to suggest what might be characterized as differences in their own emotional experiences or values. What seems to emerge from these findings is that this emotional projection may influence how counselors ostensibly address issues. Particularly noteworthy in this analytical context is that when discussing emotional trauma or negative events, counselors seemingly fail to maintain sufficient professional distance and emotional control due to what appears to represent their own emotional history, which appears to indicate that the direction of self-disclosure during counseling tends to deviate from the client's needs and may even lead to emotional confusion. What the evidence appears to reveal is that emotional interference may cause counselors to lack sufficient rationality when providing advice, instead being predominantly driven by personal emotions, thereby apparently affecting the accuracy and effectiveness of counseling outcomes.

3.3 Cultural Differences and the Limitations of Self-Disclosure Applicability

The applicability of self-disclosure in brief counseling appears to be substantially shaped by what might be characterized as cultural differences. What seems to emerge from these findings is that clients from collectivist contexts tend to guard personal privacy and emotional expression, seemingly viewing what appears to be excessive disclosure as inappropriate or even disrespectful, while those from individualist cultures apparently welcome openness. What counselors presumably must do is gauge each client's cultural norms and expectations to choose what, when, and how much to share, given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, largely avoiding reactions that could undermine trust or provoke resistance.

In what appears to be the majority of East Asian settings, what the evidence seems to suggest is that relatively strict social norms tend to limit emotional sharing, so what appears to follow from this analysis is that too much disclosure can make clients uncomfortable or ostensibly resentful, seemingly hindering rapport. By contrast, within this broader analytical framework, Western individualist contexts generally appear to accept greater personal openness. What this pattern seems to suggest, therefore, is that effective self-disclosure in cross-cultural counseling demands what seems to constitute careful adjustment: what the data appears to indicate is that neglecting cultural variations risks what tends to be misinterpreted as overly intimate, potentially sparking conflict and apparently damaging outcomes. What appears particularly significant about these findings is that cultural sensitivity and adaptability seem to represent essential elements for its successful use in therapeutic contexts.

4. Strategies for Optimizing the Effectiveness of Self-Disclosure in Reducing Resistance

4.1 Establishing a Clear Framework and Strategy for Self-Disclosure

The effectiveness of self-disclosure appears to depend on what might be characterized as its proper application and moderation. What this pattern seems to suggest, therefore, is that establishing what seems to constitute a clear framework and strategy for self-disclosure tends to be key to ensuring what appears to be its effectiveness in reducing resistance. When engaging in self-disclosure in short-term counseling, what seems especially noteworthy in this analytical context is that counselors ostensibly need to determine the content and extent of self-disclosure based on the client's specific circumstances and counseling objectives. What the evidence appears to reveal, within these evolving conceptual parameters, is that by pre-establishing the framework for self-disclosure, counselors can apparently clarify the boundaries, timing, and methods of self-disclosure, thereby seemingly avoiding what appears to represent the negative impacts of excessive or inappropriate disclosure in the majority of cases.

Establishing what appears to be a self-disclosure framework first seems to require what might be characterized as a clear understanding of the

purpose of self-disclosure. Within this broader analytical framework, in different counseling contexts, the purpose of self-disclosure tends to vary—it may seemingly aim to build trust, reduce resistance, or enhance what appears to be emotional resonance. What seems especially noteworthy in this analytical context is that each instance of self-disclosure should apparently serve a specific objective rather than being predominantly an expression of personal emotions. What the evidence appears to reveal is that the framework should be detailed down to each step, including what appears to represent the counselor's method of sharing personal experiences, the degree of emotional expression, and the selection of disclosure content. These strategies not only seem to help counselors control the content and manner of disclosure but also appear to provide what tends to suggest a clear response mechanism when clients exhibit resistance, ostensibly enabling them to adjust their self-disclosure strategies to address resistance. Given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, the self-disclosure framework should also apparently include attention to the client's feedback. What these findings seem to point toward is that the counselor should promptly notice the client's reactions and typically avoid continuing self-disclosure when the client shows signs of what appears to be discomfort or refusal. What appears particularly significant about these findings is that the flexibility of the framework appears to allow the counselor to make appropriate adjustments based on real-time situations, presumably ensuring that self-disclosure remains within an effective range to promote what seems to constitute trust-building and resistance resolution.

4.2 Training Counselors in Emotional Management And Self-Control Skills

Emotional management and self-control skills appear to represent particularly critical qualities for counselors in short-term counseling, especially when engaging in what might be characterized as self-disclosure. What seems especially noteworthy in this analytical context is that counselors must typically maintain what appears to be appropriate emotional engagement while preserving professional control. What the evidence tends to suggest is that excessive emotional exposure can ostensibly undermine a counselor's professionalism, while emotional outbursts seem to potentially damage the trust established during counseling. What appears to follow from this analysis, therefore, is that strengthening counselors' emotional management and self-control skills, within this broader analytical framework, appears to provide evidence that may support the effective prevention of emotional interference from negatively impacting what seems to constitute counseling outcomes in the majority of cases[5].

Emotional management training should focus on helping counselors identify and regulate their personal emotional responses, what appears to be essential for ensuring they remain predominantly calm and objective when facing what might be characterized as complex counseling situations. What seems to emerge from these findings is that, through professional emotional management techniques, counselors can seemingly transform personal emotions into tools that tend to resonate with clients rather than becoming what appears to represent disruptive factors. Within this broader analytical framework, training also appears to provide evidence that may support counselors in recognizing what seems to be the multi-layered role of emotions. What appears particularly significant about these findings is that counselors should ostensibly adjust the degree and content of self-disclosure based on the client's emotional state, apparently avoiding role confusion or deviation from professional goals due to what tends to suggest excessive emotional investment. Given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, emotional self-control appears to involve not only managing personal emotions but also what seems to constitute precisely controlling emotional expression. What the evidence appears to reveal is that counselors need to learn to integrate emotional expression with professional services during counseling, seemingly demonstrating emotional resonance through appropriate means while typically avoiding excessive emotional disclosure that could lead to what appears to indicate overly intimate or dependent relationships with clients

4.3 Adjusting Self-Disclosure Strategies to Suit Cultural Contexts

The effectiveness of self-disclosure appears to be substantially influenced by cultural context, which suggests what seems to be a crucial need to adjust self-disclosure strategies to suit

different cultural frameworks in short-term counseling. What the evidence tends to point toward is that differences in cultural acceptance of emotional expression, personal privacy, and communication styles largely determine what might be characterized as the applicability of self-disclosure. Within this broader analytical framework, counselors should presumably understand and respect the cultural background of clients, given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, ensuring what appears to represent cultural adaptability in self-disclosure to seemingly guarantee its effectiveness and ostensibly avoid what tends to emerge as resistance caused by cultural conflicts. What appears particularly significant about these findings is the way cultural nuances appear to shape therapeutic disclosure boundaries in ways that warrant further interpretive consideration.

Identifying clients' cultural traits appears to be what might be characterized as the first step in adapting self-disclosure, within this broader analytical framework of therapeutic practice. In the majority of cases, what seems to emerge from these findings is that in some cultures, sharing personal emotions or experiences may tend to suggest what appears to be inappropriate, so counselors must seemingly carefully select what and how they disclose to avoid what tends to point toward alienating clients. What appears particularly significant about these findings is that in others, disclosure ostensibly fosters what appears to represent trust and connection, allowing for what seems to constitute moderately increased personal sharing. Counselors should also presumably recognize cross-cultural nonverbal cues and emotional norms, given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, since cultural background apparently affects clients' acceptance of emotional expression and what appears to be their trust in the therapist. What the evidence appears to reveal is that by adjusting the timing and amount of self-disclosure to fit cultural expectations, counselors can largely minimize misunderstandings and resistance, what this pattern seems to suggest, therefore, appears to facilitate a smoother counseling process in light of these methodological considerations.

5. Conclusions

Client resistance appears to be one of the key factors that tends to influence treatment outcomes in short-term counseling. What the research seems to suggest is that counselors' self-disclosure may play what appears to be a positive role in building trust, fostering what might be characterized as emotional connection, and potentially alleviating resistance. What seems especially noteworthy in this analytical context is that moderate self-disclosure appears to help reduce feelings of power imbalance, seemingly enhance clients' trust, and thereby tends to promote what appears to represent open expression and emotional release. What the evidence appears to reveal is that excessive self-disclosure may lead to what seems to constitute role confusion, emotional interference, and cultural adaptation issues, ostensibly affecting counseling outcomes in the majority of cases. What appears to follow from this analysis, within this broader analytical framework, is that establishing a clear framework and strategies for self-disclosure, training counselors in what appears to be emotional management and self-control, and adjusting self-disclosure strategies according to cultural contexts seems to be crucial to optimizing the role of self-disclosure in resolving resistance.

References

[1] Chen Xin, Huang Chunhua. The Impact of Information Presentation by Psychological Counselors on College Students' Willingness to Seek Online Psychological Assistance [J]. Chinese Journal of Mental Health, 2023, 37(5):367-372.

[2] Li Muyang, Lei Ting, Xie Xie, et al. A Qualitative Exploration of Clients' Negative Experiences in Psychological Counseling [J]. Acta Psychologica Sinica, 2025, 57(7):1216-1230.

[3] Chen Qiang, Xu Guoying, Li Fanshu, et al. A Survey on the Multicultural Competence of College Psychological Counselors [J]. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology, 2023, 31(4):914-918.

[4] Fietzer W A ,Na G .The Social Justice Engagement Scale: Development and Validation on Professional and Student Counselors and Counseling Psychologists from the U.S[J].Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development,2025,58(3):212-235.

[5] Lu J, Lund M E, Granger A T. A Path Analysis of Burnout Among Vocational Rehabilitation Counselors [J]. Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, 2025, 68(4):287-295.