Analysis of Opportunities and Challenges in Driving Educational Transformation through Generative Artificial Intelligence

Ni Guo[1], Fangyuan He[1,*], Zhengkun Hu[1], Zhansheng Chen[2], Ying Zheng[3], Haiyan Zhao[1]
[1]College of Applied Science and Technology, Beijing Union University, Beijing, China
[2]Engineering Comprehensive Experimental Teaching Demonstration, Beijing Union University, Beijing, China
[3]Robotics Institute, Beijing Union University, Beijing, China
**Corresponding Author*

Abstract: Building an education powerhouse is one of China's key strategic objectives, and technology is the primary core for maintaining educational competitiveness. In recent years, generative artificial intelligence (AI) technology has had a significant impact on various fields, including education. How to positively transform the contradictions and challenges posed by generative AI in the field of education into educational support is currently a focal point of research in the education sector. This paper primarily investigates the current application status of generative AI technology in domestic and international educational fields, analyzes its positive impacts and challenges in China's educational sector, and proposes suggestions and strategies to address these challenges. Actively exploring the application of generative AI in educational fields will drive innovative development in China's educational sector.

Keywords: Education Powerhouse; Generative Artificial Intelligence; Teaching Innovation; Contradictions and Challenges

1. Introduction

In recent years, "Artificial intelligence + Education" has become a major global trend in education, and educational digitization has become a key breakthrough in China's educational development. On August 15, 2023, China issued the "Interim Measures for the Administration of Generative Artificial Intelligence Services," which defines generative artificial intelligence technology as models and related technologies capable of generating content such as text, images, audio, and video. The measures stipulate that generative artificial intelligence technology must safeguard national security and public interests, and comply with laws and administrative regulations. Meanwhile, UNESCO released the "Guidelines for the Educational and Research Applications of Generative Artificial Intelligence" in September 2023[1]. The document analyzes the working principles of generative artificial intelligence and the various legal and ethical concerns it may raise, and recommends that the minimum age for using generative artificial intelligence be at least 13 years old. Additionally, the document urges countries to monitor and assess the long-term impact of generative AI on learning objectives, evaluation, and students' cognitive development, and to implement medium- to long-term measures accordingly.

In July 2025, China released the Blue Book on the Application of Artificial Intelligence in Basic Education, which provides an in-depth explanation of the application of artificial intelligence in the field of education from the perspective of educational philosophy. It proposes that the principle of people-oriented artificial intelligence empowerment in education should always be adhered to in order to promote the comprehensive development of students. Generative artificial intelligence technology, with its powerful question-answering capabilities and extensive knowledge base, has greatly facilitated teaching and learning for both teachers and students. However, potential risks such as knowledge uncertainty, digital security concerns, value misguidance, lack of humanistic attributes, and weakened intellectual development in students have emerged as key challenges in the current educational landscape as the technology is gradually implemented.

2. The Development Status of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Teaching at Home and Abroad

Currently, both domestic and international efforts have been made to explore the integration of

generative artificial intelligence (AI) technology into the field of education. Zhu et al.[2] analyzed a series of initiatives undertaken by the University of British Columbia to embrace generative AI in teaching, including reforms within university departments, the establishment of rules for using AI in teaching, and the creation of incentive mechanisms. Additionally, they developed standard guidelines addressing potential technical risks and ethical issues associated with the use of generative AI, providing specific instructions for teaching processes and tool operations. Lu et al.[3] applied generative AI technology to serve as a teaching assistant for the “Chemical Thermodynamics” course, utilizing domain-specific expertise to vertically train and calibrate the model. The study demonstrated that this AI teaching assistant significantly improved teachers' lesson preparation efficiency and addressed students' knowledge gaps. He[4] introduced generative AI tools into the “Basic Russian” course, addressing the limitations of traditional language courses in terms of limited classroom time and restricted self-study outside of class. This approach promoted personalized learning to some extent but also emphasized the need to strengthen students' language communication skills and interpersonal abilities in real-world environments.

Generative artificial intelligence technology has, to some extent, facilitated educational processes; however, some scholars have expressed concerns regarding potential long-term risks associated with its deployment. Li et al.[5] delineated the challenges posed by generative AI in classroom settings, including the uncertainty of knowledge output by AI systems, the impact of unidirectional knowledge dissemination on students' emotional and value development, and the increased complexity of evaluation frameworks. They emphasized the importance of educators fostering and guiding students' value systems and restructuring the teacher-student-machine relationship during AI integration. Zhang et al.[6] highlighted the significance of ecological governance in assessing potential risks during AI-driven educational reform, advocating that AI-assisted education should transcend mere technological implementation and instead be driven by educational philosophies, involving multi-stakeholder collaboration and governance mechanisms. Miao[1] provided an interpretative overview of the "Guidelines for the Education and Research Application of Generative Artificial Intelligence," discussing its fundamental principles and acknowledging its limitations in semantic understanding and real-world comprehension. He recommended a dialectical approach to evaluating its suitability for content delivery and instructional processes, emphasizing that educational objectives and pedagogical processes should take precedence over technological considerations. Furthermore, he underscored the irreplaceable role of teacher and student agency and cautioned against the potential erosion of students' abilities to solve complex problems and engage with real-world scenarios through AI applications.

In recent years, generative artificial intelligence has progressively been integrated into the educational domain, playing a constructive role in supporting teaching and learning activities. However, it is essential to adopt a dialectical perspective regarding the potential "new issues" that may arise from the application of generative AI technologies in education. For instance, reliance on AI tools for learning could lead to students' diminished engagement with authentic instructional environments, as well as weaken interpersonal skills and the capacity to resolve complex social relationships. Since AI-generated knowledge outputs are not predicated on an understanding of real-world semantics, educators should emphasize strengthening students' value orientations and designing human-computer interaction within pedagogical frameworks. Furthermore, it is necessary to monitor students' adaptability to emerging technologies and consider the lag in AI's rapid iterative updates, implementing effective strategies to mitigate possible adverse effects stemming from these challenges.

3. Opportunities Presented by Generative Artificial Intelligence in Education

Currently, generative artificial intelligence has been integrated into the educational sector to facilitate digital intelligence empowerment. For instance, knowledge graph-based instructional models enable students to rapidly grasp the structural relationships of knowledge points, fostering more intuitive understanding. Additionally, generative AI can produce course-specific knowledge question-and-answer assistants, aiding students in resolving queries at any time and supporting teachers in more efficient intelligent lesson planning. This significantly enhances preparation efficiency. The impact of generative AI on education can be

comprehensively analyzed from the following perspectives:

3.1 Promoting the Individualized Development of Students

(1) Highly targeted

In the educational process, there exists an inherent contradiction between large-scale instruction and individualized student learning. This discrepancy primarily stems from the heterogeneity in students' cognitive foundations and their capacity to assimilate knowledge. Generative artificial intelligence offers a supportive mechanism for personalized development by leveraging students' response data to identify specific weak points and tailor targeted practice and learning pathways. The current learning status of students can be objectively reflected through data analysis, enabling educators to make timely adjustments to teaching strategies and provide dynamic guidance. This approach facilitates more effective planning of students' learning trajectories.

(2) Overcoming the constraints of time and space.

The finiteness of instructional time and space versus students' learning demands represents another inherent contradiction. The advent of generative artificial intelligence has, to some extent, disrupted these temporal and spatial constraints, enabling students to access targeted, real-time guidance at any moment by leveraging the technological advantages of generative AI. This development is particularly advantageous in language education, where immediate, personalized feedback significantly enhances learning outcomes.

(3) Broaden the scope of knowledge

Generative artificial intelligence possesses robust capabilities for exploration and information integration. It can rapidly produce scholarly reports based on input topics, often generating divergent content that broadens students' thinking and perspectives, thereby enhancing their exploratory skills.

3.2 Enhancing Teacher Effectiveness

Generative artificial intelligence can provide educators with intelligent lesson planning capabilities, facilitating rapid integration of curriculum content and instructional materials, including multimodal resources such as charts and videos. Additionally, AI teaching assistants alleviate certain teaching burdens, enabling educators to shift from low-level, repetitive knowledge dissemination to higher-order instructional design, thereby focusing more on cultivating students' advanced innovative skills.

3.3 Promoting Interdisciplinary Development

The statement "Generative AI Accelerates Interdisciplinary Integration" was identified as one of the "Top Ten Global Hot Topics in Digital Education Research" at the 2025 World Conference on Digital Education. Generative artificial intelligence demonstrates significant efficiency in synthesizing disciplinary knowledge, thereby offering a promising avenue for advancing interdisciplinary integration.

In recent years, the objectives of higher education talent cultivation have progressively shifted towards a demand-driven approach aligned with societal needs, emphasizing enhanced university-industry collaboration to develop professionals capable of addressing complex problems. To foster graduates with comprehensive competencies, project-based teaching methods have increasingly been adopted, prompting universities to strengthen interdisciplinary integration and cultivate students' multidisciplinary knowledge systems. However, such integration requires substantial faculty resources across various disciplines, which conflicts with the often limited availability of qualified personnel. Generative artificial intelligence (AI) offers critical technological support for interdisciplinary fusion. Firstly, it can automatically generate supporting instructional materials tailored to interdisciplinary teaching demands, providing efficient and practical frameworks. Additionally, leveraging its advanced search capabilities, generative AI can align with cutting-edge industry trends, significantly reducing instructors' preparation time. Secondly, personalized learning pathways facilitated by generative AI—such as knowledge graph-based intelligent applications—enhance students' understanding of inter-disciplinary connections and improve their knowledge exploration skills. Thirdly, through its robust knowledge reconstruction abilities, generative AI can break down disciplinary barriers, create complex problem scenarios, and elevate students' interdisciplinary literacy and innovative capacities[7] Overall, generative AI technology facilitates optimal utilization of teaching resources and enables educators to undertake multidisciplinary restructuring and innovative integration within practical teaching contexts.

4. Challenges and Countermeasures of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Education

Generative artificial intelligence technology has driven significant transformations in the field of education, facilitating a shift from traditional classroom instruction to an intelligent, technology-enabled paradigm. Concurrently, the widespread application of this technology has increasingly manifested in trends such as de-personalization of teaching, de-clustering of classrooms, de-disciplinarization[8], and the fragmentation of knowledge. While immersive integration of generative AI into pedagogical settings offers numerous advantages[9], it also conceals potential risks, prompting educators to continually reevaluate the fundamental principles of education. The Blue Book titled "Artificial Intelligence Empowering Basic Education Applications" emphasizes that AI should be applied based on the principle of "people-centeredness," advocating for its use to enhance human capacity building. It further asserts that educational objectives and pathways should transition towards fostering critical thinking, information literacy, innovation, and value development. This shift signifies a move from knowledge-based to competency-based education, necessitating comprehensive reforms across the entire educational system, including pedagogical models and administrative management. The impacts and challenges posed by generative AI in education are gradually becoming apparent, primarily reflected in the following aspects:

4.1 The Challenge of Generative Artificial Intelligence to Pedagogical Paradigms

The integration of generative artificial intelligence in educational settings has transformed the traditional teacher-student dynamic into a triadic relationship involving the teacher, the student, and the technology. This paradigm shift is not instantaneous; for instance, in current pedagogical practices, students often rely directly on generative AI tools to complete classroom exercises, equating technological superiority with personal achievement in knowledge acquisition. The immediate presentation of AI-generated responses can undermine students' independent critical thinking skills and foster overdependence on technology. While generative AI can facilitate divergent thinking and creative problem-solving, excessive reliance and uncritical acceptance of technological outputs may, from another perspective, hinder the development of students' divergent and innovative thinking abilities.

The aforementioned issue necessitates a transition in pedagogical approach from traditional knowledge transmission to competency-based education. Specifically, classroom instruction shifts from the mere dissemination of low-level factual knowledge to the facilitation of solving complex, project-based problems. This involves delegating autonomous learning of foundational knowledge to students, while educators focus on cultivating students' abilities and strategies for tackling intricate issues. Additionally, students are encouraged to develop a deeper understanding of artificial intelligence principles. Given the inherent uncertainty in AI-generated knowledge, it is essential to guide students in maintaining a critical perspective towards AI technologies, thereby fostering their capacity to effectively utilize AI tools and innovate in problem-solving. This approach aims to establish a "dual-helix" pedagogical model characterized by human-AI collaborative progression[10].

4.2 The Deficiency of Pedagogical Humanistic Attributes in the Application of Generative Artificial Intelligence

Despite the gradual integration of generative artificial intelligence technologies—such as speech recognition, natural language processing, and image processing—into educational settings, these systems fundamentally lack an understanding of semantics and the real world. Consequently, they are unable to adapt dynamically to authentic teaching environments and can only produce outputs based on explicit instructions. The primary advantages of generative AI lie in its robust knowledge base search capabilities and rapid information synthesis; however, its interaction mode remains rigid and mechanized, requiring command inputs. Excessive reliance on human-computer interaction for knowledge acquisition during instruction may lead to emotional detachment from teachers and peers, as well as diminished students' perceptual and responsive abilities to real-world contexts. This runs counter to the educational philosophy of cultivating individuals with sound character and comprehensive development. Therefore, in practical human-AI collaborative teaching, educators should leverage the complementary strengths of generative AI tools. Instructional design must address the AI's deficiencies in humanistic attributes by emphasizing the cultivation of students' values and emotional

awareness throughout their growth. This approach aims to facilitate the effective comprehension of subject matter while fostering correct values and social responsibility. Additionally, incorporating collaborative tasks can nurture teamwork and interpersonal skills among students.

4.3 The Anti-Intellectual Undercurrents in the Pedagogical Process

Generative artificial intelligence (AI) can enhance students' learning efficiency and provide personalized support during the educational process. However, it is essential to prevent technology from overshadowing human agency; AI should be regarded as a tool rather than an end in itself, serving as an auxiliary instrument rather than a substitute. This limitation is primarily dictated by the inherent technical constraints of generative AI, which include: 1) Uncertainty of knowledge. Generative AI models produce seemingly plausible yet factually incorrect information—referred to as "hallucinations"—and each output contains a degree of randomness. These limitations pose challenges in educational contexts, such as generating uncertain knowledge content and concerns regarding accuracy. Consequently, students must possess the ability to assess the correctness of foundational knowledge, especially in high-precision fields like medical or legal education, where reliance on AI without such evaluative skills entails risks. Extensive fine-tuning and training of AI models are necessary to minimize erroneous outputs. Furthermore, AI outputs strictly adhere to the input instructions, requiring students to understand and follow specific prompt paradigms to obtain accurate responses. 2) Over-reliance on technology. While generative AI can efficiently produce conclusions for problem-solving, an exclusive focus on outcomes may neglect the cognitive processes involved in knowledge acquisition, thereby impairing students' critical thinking skills. Additionally, the training data of AI models cannot entirely exclude harmful or biased content, and the unpredictability of student input introduces potential for misleading guidance. These factors must be carefully considered when integrating AI into educational practices.

The concerns regarding student anti-intellectualism arising from the application of generative artificial intelligence in education primarily encompass both objective and subjective dimensions. Objectively, these concerns stem from the inherent technical limitations of generative AI technology itself, which necessitates ongoing optimization of large-scale models on technical platforms to develop more specialized, course-matching large models that better support teaching activities[12-13]. Subjectively, the issues relate to the proactive engagement of educators and students; they must fully recognize the deficiencies of AI technology, maintain critical thinking, and apply AI's auxiliary functions appropriately, while avoiding over-reliance on technological assistance that could diminish innovative thinking and exploratory capabilities[14].

4.4 Challenges in Educational Management

The integration of generative artificial intelligence within educational practice presents significant challenges for academic administrators, notably in the following domains: 1) Issues related to academic integrity and assessment validity. The widespread adoption of generative AI tools, facilitated by their accessibility via mobile platforms, raises concerns such as the potential for academic dishonesty—e.g., the complete reliance on AI-generated content in scholarly writing, which undermines originality, and dependence on AI tools for completing classroom exercises, thereby compromising student independence. These factors threaten the integrity of existing evaluation and assessment frameworks; 2) Data security concerns. The frequent utilization of AI tools during instruction enables real-time monitoring of student learning processes and data collection, which may inadvertently involve the input of sensitive information, including personal data of students and educators, grades, or confidential content. Consequently, educational administrators must enhance technical safeguards for data security and foster awareness among faculty and students regarding data privacy. Furthermore, the development of standardized policies and regulations is imperative within the pedagogical application of generative AI to address the uncertainties associated with its evolving landscape.

4.5 Challenges to the Digital Literacy of Educators and Students

The integration of generative artificial intelligence is progressively transforming pedagogical practices, necessitating high levels of digital literacy among educators and students to facilitate effective human-computer collaboration within the classroom. The primary challenges to digital

competency development encompass: 1) the demands placed on educators' digital skills. According to the Ministry of Education's 2023 publication on "Digital Literacy for Teachers," educators must possess competencies in digital awareness, technical knowledge and skills, digital application proficiency, digital social responsibility, and ongoing digital professional development. The reconfiguration of the "teacher-student-machine" triad requires educators to demonstrate strong facilitation and organizational capabilities alongside elevated digital literacy. To accelerate the establishment of a new ecosystem for talent cultivation—characterized by data-driven approaches, human-computer synergy, and cross-disciplinary openness empowered by artificial intelligence—educational administrators should proactively organize comprehensive training programs focused on AI pedagogical competencies. These programs should address themes such as AI literacy education, AI integration in specialized disciplines, and the application of large models and intelligent teaching tools. 2) Challenges to students' digital literacy in the context of generative artificial intelligence. The current deployment of generative AI presents both pedagogical and learner-centric challenges, necessitating a macro-level understanding among students rather than passive acceptance. Students should proactively adapt to innovative instructional modalities. Firstly, there is a need to deepen comprehension of the underlying principles of generative AI beyond its rapid response capabilities; educational institutions should incorporate AI literacy courses to elucidate the foundational algorithms, generation mechanisms, and associated advantages and disadvantages. Secondly, students must develop proficiency in manipulating AI tools. As generative AI increasingly permeates pedagogical practices, learners should avoid complete dependence on technology, align with instructional pacing, clarify learning objectives, and prevent fragmentation of knowledge. Thirdly, acquiring operational skills and command syntax for intelligent teaching platforms is essential. To optimize AI integration in classroom settings, the continuous development and application of intelligent teaching platforms pose significant digital skill demands on both educators and students. Learners should actively adjust their mindset to accommodate new pedagogical paradigms, master command protocols, and refine prompt engineering techniques to fully leverage personalized AI-driven educational support. Fourth, it is essential to prevent excessive reliance on technological tools and to cultivate the ability to discern authenticity. Overdependence on artificial intelligence technologies can undermine students' capacity for independent critical thinking; therefore, students should broaden their perspectives, develop multidimensional skills, and foster self-regulation in technology use. Additionally, the inherent uncertainty in knowledge generated by AI necessitates that students acquire the ability to evaluate the veracity of information. Students should also develop competencies for accessing knowledge through multiple channels. As the integration of AI tools in classroom settings increases, opportunities for autonomous inquiry expand, requiring students to possess autonomous learning planning skills while maintaining a critical perspective towards technological advancements.

5. Conclusion

Generative artificial intelligence technology has accelerated pedagogical development and offers innovative approaches for interdisciplinary integration and industry-education collaboration. For instance, leveraging AI-driven industry trend analysis to optimize curriculum design, and constructing highly realistic virtual simulations and digital twins to facilitate students' understanding of cutting-edge industrial practices. Additionally, educational administrators should establish robust data security protocols, formulate comprehensive AI usage policies, and remain vigilant to emerging challenges such as new educational disparities introduced by artificial intelligence.

The advancement of artificial intelligence technology offers significant support and opportunities for our nation's goal of becoming an educational powerhouse. As the digital transformation of education progresses, higher education institutions urgently need to undertake profound reforms in pedagogical philosophy, instructional methodologies, and assessment systems to cultivate a new "AI-enhanced" higher education ecosystem. Concurrently, it is essential to critically address the potential challenges and risks associated with the integration of artificial intelligence into pedagogical practices.

Acknowledgments

This paper is supported by the General projects

of scientific research plan of Beijing Municipal Commission of Education (No.KM202411417003 and No.KM202211417005), in part by the "14th Five-Year Plan" scientific research planning project of the Chinese Life Science Association (No.K1102024061262), in part by the Beijing Union University Education Reform Project (No. JJ2022Y049).

References

[1] F. C. Miao. Principles of Generative Artificial Intelligence Technology and Its Validity for Educational Applications. Modern Educational Technology, 2023, 33(11): 5-18.

[2] Y. J. Zhu, Z. B. Huang, C. H. Lv. University of British Columbia's Generative Artificial Intelligence Pedagogical Strategy. Higher Education Development and Evaluation, 2025, 41(02): 69-76+132.

[3] D. N. Lu, Y. Dang, H. N. Wang, et al. Empowering Higher Education Curriculum through Generative Artificial Intelligence: A Case Study of the "Chemical Thermodynamics" Course. Tsinghua University Educational Research, 2024, 45(05): 89-98.

[4] Y. Y. He. Exploration and Reflection on the Integration of Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools in Foundational Russian Language Instruction. Heilongjiang Education: Theory and Practice, 2024, (08): 83-85.

[5] S. Li, L. Zheng. Challenges and Responses of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Classroom Instruction. Curriculum. Instructional materials. Pedagogical methods, 2024, 44(01): 39-46.

[6] Y. Zhang, Z. H. Yang, F. Tan. Risk assessment, attribution analysis, and ecosystem governance in leveraging artificial intelligence to drive educational transformation. Electrified Education Research, 2025, 46(07): 19-25.

[7] J. M. Zong. Research on Developing Interdisciplinary Teaching Design Skills for Preservice Teachers Based on Generative Artificial Intelligence. Qufu Normal University,2025.

[8] H. Zhao, Y. Guo, J. Zhang. Research and Practice on "What to Teach," "How to Teach," and "How to Learn" in the Context of AI: Proposal of an Innovative Teaching Model. China University Teaching, 2025, (05): 79-87.

[9] S. Cao, Z. B. Luo. The Challenges, Limitations, and Pathways of Applying Artificial Intelligence to Teaching. Electrified Education Research, 2024, 45(04): 88-95.

[10] H. Y. Zhou, J. T. Yu. Teacher work towards the era of general artificial intelligence: challenges and solutions. Electrified Education Research, 2025, 46(07): 5-12.

[11] X. L. Zhao, D. Xu, Z. Hu. Artificial Intelligence Refactoring the Ecology of Higher Education: Trends, Changes, and Governance - Key Points and Reflections on the 2025 Horizon Report (Teaching Edition). Open Education Research, 2025, 31(03): 42-51.

[12] L. Wang, Y. F. Yang. Research on the Path of High Quality Development of Vocational Education Driven by Generative Artificial Intelligence. Journal of Beijing Finance and Trade Vocational College, 2025,41(03):11-15.

[13] W. Y. Liao, W. J. Zhao, B. B. Qi. Digital Twin Classroom: Hierarchical Architecture, Data Flow Model, and Core Features. Exploration of Educational Science, 2025, 43(04): 83-90.

[14] J. Guo, Q. Rong. Artificial Intelligence Empowering Educational Equity: International Consensus, Realistic Obstacles, and Practical Paths. Journal of Southwest University (Social Sciences Edition), 2025, 51(02): 247-258+315.

