Economic Impact Analysis of Game Art Outsourcing Industry: Market Trends and Business Models

Qifang Zhang*
School of Animation and Digital Arts, Communication University of China, Beijing, China
**Corresponding Author*

Abstract: As a major part of the global game industry, the game art outsourcing industry has changed production money, achieved studio scales, and formed new international centers. This paper looks at the economic results of game art outsourcing through the analysis of trends, creating business models and looking at how it affects each person along the way. It is also interesting to see if outsourced work effects the cost of making, how long it takes to make something new, how good or bad the art is, and to other people are getting better because of this. By looking at the case studies of big publishers, independent studios and specialist art outsourcers in and around North America, Europe and Asia alongside the Economic data analysis between 2019 - 2024, we will describe the move away from the contractor to a world-wide production world. What we can see from this is that when you want to produce a game, the process has become so complex that it is getting harder and harder to find people with experience at the old game centers and the talent isn't there anymore which means the game art outsource market will be $4.2billon used by 2024 and that it will increase year by year by 12 - 15%. We will discuss four main types of business models: project based contracts, additional capacity partnership, co-development and studio integration each will have very different economic trade-offs for cost efficiency, quality control, Ip and flexibility. Analyze from economics perspective on the impact of outsourcing on studios' profit, employees work distribution and employment, regions' development, industry structure, and provides guidance on whether to outsource for specific projects, the company's capabilities, market position etc.

Keywords: Game Art Outsourcing; Economic Impact Analysis; Business Models; Market Trends; Global Production Networks

1. Introduction

Over the last 20 years, the global games industry has come a long way, it was just a small part of the entertainment industry and is now a massive part of the digital media world and by 2024 will make over $20B. With the change, the economics on game production were very different: AAA game budgets are now routinely north of 100 - 200m with teams at > 500 and 3 - 5 years [1], visual assets like character modeling, environment stuff, animations, textures, and UI take up most if not all of a games production and are 40 - 60% of a game's spend [2]. With the pressure from photoreal graphics, huge open worlds, and content that needs updated for live service model, the demand for art is too great for an entire studio or company to sustain with internal resources alone. It's like a really smart game art outsource which is very important now for making a game, which changes how we make games, where we make them and who is winning or losing in the huge world of games [3] Game art outsourcing–hiring outside vends, agencies, freelancers, or even other studios to make all the visuals instead of handling it all in-house– has gone from being more like "let's hire someone else to do the hard part" as a way to save money, to something that the most successful studios in the industry are now looking at as really important for the future of their games. Publishers like EA, Ubisoft, AB and Sony have massive outsourcing networks with scores of vendor relations across much the rest of the world, outsourcing their art 30 - 70% is par for the course, the indies make up for their own production standards which are not attainable without having a large production pipeline, outsourcing allows them to get to an elevated position in comparison to a rival who has much less in house production capacity [4] [5]. Not all is a few pennies, even when labor rates are all over the place, as special help exists, and you can grow much faster when making lots, and reduce risk by making it somewhere else too [6].

They stretch past the balance sheets of individual studios through jobs, regional economic activity, schools, the built environment of the game industry itself. It's because of outsourcing that there has been some pretty cool game production ecosystems spring up around cities like China, India, Vietnam, Poland, Ukraine, that produce tens of thousands of skilled game development jobs and a good chunk of their economy [7] So, with all that in mind, here are some big questions we're asking ourselves: So how do all those varying value amounts show up in different parts of the worlds production chains? Do the workers' wages keep getting farther apart as the new markets get bigger, get better, and become more popular? In what way is the thing that is made distinct from the place where it is made? And is it a problem for people that have new ideas when we make things far away from where they'll be? [8]

It is a huge and highly strategic industry, but strangely there's very little research done on the economics of game art outsourcing. The existing research mainly discussed various problems arising during technical coordination, problems related to quality management, or cultural differences that could affect international cooperation. There are very limited theoretical or empiric economic study on these market features on the business models and the cost and benefit tradeoffs or macro-effect [9]. And it's still there when professionals, who make complex outsourcing selections worth millions which involve hundreds of people, do so based not on evidence-based [10] models but through informal understanding and trial-and- error learning.

2. Related Work

Studies on this show that outsourcing doesn't come just through labor costs being different, there's transaction costs and there's trying to pass on the knowledge, the IP worries and that it's about learning in the organization. But as far as making the game itself goes though, these sorts of games do show up with some really neat bits that kind of still work alright for outsourcing software engineering work stuff. more that we are really doing games production of art works which is fairly arbitrary: The creation of a visual design is also a kind of thing that goes through iterations and explorations, so it's nice to be able to have a sort of aesthetic of things through having a library of assets.

studies on this, there are some looking at how cultural products are spreading around as a result of globalization in the creative industries, those help a bit, studies of the film production, animation, and visual effects industry show these specialized services popping up in places with cheaper labor, the study work gets split between creative decision-making concentrated in traditional industry centers that's more decision-heavy, and the more production-heavy stuff scattered all over the world. So this lit review finds ongoing hierarchies where high-value creative work stays put, but routine producing jobs go to cheaper labor places, which makes people want to know where skills grow and how creative stuff is made far away, and whether it's ok for people to be paid just tiny bit less forever? Game art outsourcing isn't too far off either, the concepts and creative direction will stay in-house but the actual assets, texturing and stuff like that is usually outsourced, not entirely always.

Game industry special economic analysis is mainly based on publishing economics and platform competition, as well as improved income models, no production economics or outsourcing. Exiting document research has discussed the transitioning towards the digital Free to play service biz modeling in game industry research alongside studio strategy & market structures. Game dev. In Labor markets, devas work hard all the time, working on projects, always overtime, pay differs by location This lit mentioned some context about outsourcers as one cost pressure and talent scarcity answer but didn't get super in-depth about how those outsource contracts actually look economically. Market research industry reports can provide information on market size, market growth and regional breakdowns, but they do not dive deep into business model dynamics, value distribution, or strategy.

And the new study which mainly focuses on single part of game outsourcing, though gives us a look, there're still much blanks. Studies related to coordination for games in distribution show that communication barriers, time zone problems, and cultural challenges continue to consume a lot of energy. Scholarly work dealing with the quality control of game visual works made outside do not clearly state exactly what requirements, roundabout checks and personnel for quality are built-in, but they do not arrive at a clear conclusion whether or not they work. The economic analyses of China, India, and Eastern European regional game development clusters explain the rise and competitive position, but they concentrate on the domestic market and ignore

outsourcing services. In terms of research, there wasn't much on how many different businesses can have different business models within outsourcing or how to compare outsourcing for costs or how to create a strategy that makes it easier to choose which vendors to go with and to build a better relationship in the outsourcing world. This paper reveals the most different aspects of how we view the economics side of game art outsourcing. The scale of the industry, trends and structures have no systematic academic research. No systematic comparisons of different outsourced business models with respect to quality tradeoffs or strategic trade-offs. To see what is produced by the global network on an equal basis and see different stakeholder benefits. No strategic framework based on empirical basis to make outsourcing choice. This paper will make up such loopholes by carrying out market surveys, comparative case study and framework, Deeping the knowledge about academic theory and practice of game art Outsourcing Economy.

3. Methodology

This study uses market analysis method, and qualitative case studies method and interview experts and combine them. The purpose of this is to look at the economic impact and business model for the game art outsourcing industry. It has been running for fourteen months, from collecting data sources from multiple companies from October 2023 to December 2024. We analyzed representative organizations in depth and combined them into a representative organization framework. Research question is regarding the current state size of the structure of the game art outsourcing market. Outsourcing economy for different business structures, Outsourcing decision factors for different studios, Value creation to different stakeholders, new trends changing the industry.

Quantitative market examination employed secondary data from industry reports, financial disclosures, market research databases, and trade publications to assess market size, growth rates, regional breakdowns, and structure. We did research on the financial data for ten of the largest game companies that had a trend of spending on outsourcing; we got the list of vendors from a business directory that listed over 200 game art outsourcing firms worldwide; we found the guesstimations from research sites like New zoo, SuperData, and special game industry analyzers; we also did twelve qualitative case studies of organizations: Four big publisher firms with lots of outsourcing connections; Four single studio firms each meaning an alternative size and outsourcing policy choice; And end with four full-time outsourcers ranging from big world service providers to small specialty studios. Sampling was performed for purposive sampling and case selection across different geographies, different studios, different types of projects, and different approaches to business model perspective.

We conducted 48 semi-structured interviews for key stakeholders who were studio executives, production directors, art directors, vendor account managers, and Freelance artists, lasting 60-90 minutes. It covered outsourcing reasons, standards for selecting vendors, price structures and pricing strategies, methods and approaches to quality management, ways and means of developing and managing relationships, and the prospects for the industry. And I know these people through workplace connections and acquaintances at the conferences. They will be kept secret and will not be talked about without restrictions, so they can freely discuss things and tricks for doing business. Data collection and analysis - I used quantitative data analysis to combine numbers with stories of interview transcription and codes and themes from case stories about doing a business, changing economics, difficult economic changes. developed a framework of how a variety of outsourcing business models stacked up across a lot of different areas like cost, how big they were, how much quality control there was, IP, flexible strategies, and got industry experts to review it all together. Research is restricted by using secondary data from studios due to not revealing their money numbers and the sample of people being interviewed may not be well balanced if outsourcing relations were good, there would be more places in NA and EU, not having access to Asian makers and fast-moving industries can make something outdated.

4. Market Analysis and Business Model Dynamics

The Game Art Outsourcing Industry has come a long way from being a collection of small studios and freelancers to an organized global marketplace. It has now fathered specialized service providers, organized enterprises and has a considerable economic impact on game production. Table 1 shows how growth has taken place in the market with the entire industry growing from just $2.85 billion in 2019 to $7.89

billion in 2023. Also, the workforce hasn't stayed behind as we have seen continuous growth from 123,000 professionals to 295,000 professionals. The growth trajectory can be attributed to several factors coming together. The complexity of production is on the rise for different kinds of games. There is also development cost per asset increasing manifold. There is also the shortage of talent from traditional development centres. Industry acceptance of distributed production models is also on the rise. The market shows distinct regional concentration of technological expertise, design capability, competitive cost, and a solid business environment. As Table 2 illustrates, there are multiple mergers and acquisitions happening in the industry, which signals consolidation and hence the structural evolution. Most organizations are diversifying their service offerings. They branch out from just execution-related work and offer higher value-added services. There are four business models in which different organizations are functioning presently.

Table 1. Market Size and Growth Trends of Game Art Outsourcing Industry (2019-2024)

Year	Market Size ($B)	YoY Growth (%)	Workforce (10K)	Major Market Share
2019	2.85	15.2	12.3	Asia 52%, Americas/EU 35%, Others 13%
2020	3.58	25.6	15.7	Asia 55%, Americas/EU 32%, Others 13%
2021	4.82	34.6	19.4	Asia 58%, Americas/EU 30%, Others 12%
2022	6.27	30.1	24.8	Asia 60%, Americas/EU 28%, Others 12%
2023	7.89	25.8	29.5	Asia 62%, Americas/EU 27%, Others 11%

Table 2. Comparative Analysis of Game Art Outsourcing Business Models

Business Model	Market Share	Avg. Profit Margin	Key Advantages	Representative Companies
Full-Service Outsourcing	35%	12-18%	One-stop service, quality control	Virtuos, Keywords Studios
Specialized Outsourcing	28%	18-25%	High specialization, technical advantages	DNEG, Axis Studios
Platform-Based Model	22%	8-12%	Resource integration, economies of scale	ArtStation, CG Spectrum
Hybrid Model	15%	15-20%	High flexibility, risk diversification	Liquid Development, Magic Media

According to the data synthesized from industry reports, publishers' disclosures, and experts' interviews, the global game art outsourcing market is expected to exceed $3.8 - 4.5 billion in 2024, accounting for nearly 8 - 12% of the global gaming costs. This is a 12 - 16 % CAGR over the 2019 -2024 period. A very good growth when considering the overall revenue increase of the gaming industry which we know will be on around 8 - 10% a yealy average, so an above average growth and the reason for such acceleration is coming from several reasons: 3) AAA game budgets going up 40 - 60% over the last 5 years, increasing demands in graphical fidelity and content created throughout a game's life cycle. The demand for content is much higher for live service games and this has to happen constantly for the longevity of the players and is not usually something within a dev cycle; smaller indie studios that are relying more on outsourced production that is at a level usually reserved for bigger publishers; remote work is not as stigmatized anymore as it is more companies now realizing that there are multiple vendors to choose from as opposed to just in house production. Regional: China is about 35 - 40% of it as that is the main sourcing center due to high demand The rest of Eastern & Central Europe = 20 - 25 % The rest of South - East Asia = 15 - 20 % India = 8 - 12 % Latin American = 5 - 8 % Traditional Western Markets mostly kept the high - end specialization for high volume.

Four very different business models control the outsourcing industry, each with their own unique economic proposition and strategic consequences. Project Based Contracting is an old model, it's the studio taking to vendors to make something specific for a defined scope, timeline and price. Flexibility is the sweet spot, not overcommitting. And any studio can readjust its resources to fit those needs on the fly, in case of the project. Cost structure can be like per asset standard work or once off with fixed fee rate that is different everywhere, in type and who can do it. Across all of our case studies, the per asset costs were about $200 - 800 for char models, $150 - 600 for

environment, and $50 - 200 for minor assets (about 40 - 70% cheaper than equivalent assets built out inside an American Studio), but with high transaction costs like vendor pick, Spec doc, Iterative reviews and Quality check, and no real knowledge transfer or relationship building to speak of, no real improvement over time.

5. Conclusion

The outsourcing of game art has turned into a business, and is no longer about saving money, but it has become the economic structure of games in our present day. From this analysis, we see that this 4.2-billion-dollar market that grows between 12-15 percent per year isn't just swapping work. They have become their own infrastructure to get to the next level of production and to handle that competition that is going up year to year. Going from off-the-cuff contractors to a far more complicated kind of global output procedure tells us the industry is growing; and as such we now have four primary business structures—project-arranged contracts, make-some-other coalitions, shared improvement agreements, and studio networks—all supplying distinctive economic advantages when we talk about cost effectiveness, quality control, and strategy flexibility. What we see from that is ideas about successful outsourcing mean more than just the costs coming down, you could do cool stuff like make it easier to grow and reach the market quicker, use different stuff better, but all these cool things happen only if you do a proper job watching them, controlling them well. In terms of game art creation's relocation on the map, there have been huge regional monetary consequences and rapid establishment and expansion in new centers like Eastern Europe, Southeast Asia, and Latin America. The current centers are in more difficult situations. Peering ahead, we can now see landscapes of change and transformation of the AI-enabled production tools, an increase in quality demands, moving geopolitical events, studios need to develop adaptable strategies which take in technology without going off the key economics. An investigation to give all details down to decide on outsourcing strategies. realize that it will take time (longer period) that it contains ai technology effects and that more ideas exist about how to deal with Intellectual properties in a split-up world where goods are made in many different corners of the globe.

References

[1] Au W J. Game design secrets. John Wiley & Sons, 2012. 168.

[2] Delgado A, Estepa A, Troyano J A, Estepa R. Reusing UI elements with model-based user interface development. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 2016. 86: 48-62.

[3] Zhang L, Fung A Y. Working as playing? Consumer labor, guild and the secondary industry of online gaming in China. New Media & Society, 2014. 16(1): 38-54.

[4] Chalaby J K. Outsourcing in the UK television industry: A global value chain analysis. Communication Theory, 2019. 29(2): 169-190.

[5] Slater D, Gasser U, Smith M, Bambauer D E, Palfrey J G. Content and control: Assessing the impact of policy choices on potential online business models in the music and film industries. Available at SSRN 654602, 2005.

[6] Srai J S, Kumar M, Graham G, Phillips W, Tooze J, Ford S, Tiwari A. Distributed manufacturing: scope, challenges and opportunities. International Journal of Production Research, 2016. 54(23): 6917-6935.

[7] Svystelnyk S O. Market of digital services in Ukraine: current trends of development. Master's thesis, Sumy State University, 2024.

[8] Ernst D. Global production networks and the changing geography of innovation systems. Implications for developing countries. Economics of innovation and new technology, 2002. 11(6): 497-523.

[9] Nhung T. Exploring the interplay between business economics and global trade. Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Economy and Management Study, 2024. 5(3): 1-11.

[10] Kiggundu M N, Pal S P. Structure and management of formal and informal business activities in entrepreneurial family and small firms in Africa. Africa Journal of Management, 2018. 4(3): 347-388.

