Research on the Optimization Path of Visual Design Courses in the Artificial Intelligence Technology Environment

Yi Liao*, Jinghong Nie
Guangzhou Xinhua University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China
**Corresponding Author*

Abstract: With the rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) and generative AI (AIGC), visual design education is facing profound changes and new demands. Traditional visual design courses overemphasize manual creation and experience teaching, which are inconsistent with the intelligent development trend of the design industry. Based on constructivist learning theory and human-AI collaborative innovation theory, this paper studies the optimization path of visual design courses in the AI environment. It proposes to update teaching content by adding AI tool application and data analysis modules, innovate teaching methods through human-AI collaborative projects and personalized learning, improve practical teaching by combining real industry projects, and establish a diversified evaluation system. Meanwhile, this paper analyzes the main challenges in implementation, including technical and cost constraints, teachers' AI literacy, copyright and ethical issues, and students' over-reliance on AI, and puts forward corresponding countermeasures. This study aims to promote the intelligent reform of visual design courses and cultivate compound talents with both design ability and AI application skills to meet the needs of the intelligent creative industry.

Keywords: Visual Design; Artificial Intelligence; Course Optimization

1. Introduction

In today's era of rapid digital technology development, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a core driving force for transforming various industries, and the field of visual design is no exception. Breakthroughs in technologies such as Generative AI (AIGC), machine learning, and big data analysis have not only changed the creative tools and processes of visual design but also reshaped the industry's requirements for the capabilities of design talents. Traditional visual design courses focus on manual creativity, classic design theories, and experience transmission, emphasizing the cultivation of students' aesthetic literacy and manual skills. However, with the popularization of AI technology, the disconnect between teaching content and actual industry needs has become increasingly prominent. The modern design industry is in urgent need of compound talents who possess solid design skills, are proficient in using AI tools, master data analysis capabilities, and can adapt to the human-AI collaborative working model.

As the core carrier for cultivating design talents, the teaching quality of visual design courses directly affects students' employ ability and the development potential of the industry. Therefore, how to comply with the development trend of AI technology, optimize the curriculum system, update teaching content, and innovate teaching methods has become an important issue facing visual design education in institutions of higher education. By sorting out the application status of AI technology in the field of visual design, analyzing the limitations of traditional visual design teaching, and combining relevant educational theories and teaching practices, this paper constructs an optimization path for visual design courses in the AI environment. It also discusses the challenges and solutions in the implementation process, aiming to provide useful reference for the intelligent reform of visual design education.

2. Application Status of Artificial Intelligence Technology in the Field of Visual Design

2.1 Core Capabilities of Generative Artificial Intelligence

Generative Artificial Intelligence (AIGC) is an AI technology that can independently generate content such as text, images, audio, and video

based on big data training and algorithm models. Its application in the field of visual design has shown a large-scale and diversified trend. Currently, mainstream AIGC tools can be divided into three categories: image generation tools, text generation tools, and data analysis tools.

In terms of image generation tools, DALL-E, Midjourney, and Stable Diffusion rely on their powerful Text-to-Image conversion capabilities. They quickly generate visually diverse and creative images based on user-input keywords or descriptive text. These tools support the generation of various artistic styles—including realism, abstraction, retro style, and futurism—and can be applied to multiple design scenarios. Examples include advertising design, poster creation, product prototype drawing, and scene construction. For instance, designers only need to input "blue tone, minimalist style, environmental protection-themed beverage packaging design" to obtain dozens of different versions of design schemes in a short period. This greatly improves the efficiency of the creative conception stage. In addition, Adobe Firefly and Canva AI have realized the intelligence of image editing. They support functions such as intelligent retouching, automatic background removal, color optimization, and layout suggestions, simplifying the post-design adjustment process.

Text generation tools-represented by OpenAI's GPT series, Baidu ERNIE Bot, and iFLYTEK Spark—can generate copywriting content that conforms to the brand tone. This includes advertising copy, brand stories, and product descriptions based on the design theme and target audience. These tools not only improve the efficiency of copy writing creation but also generate more targeted and marketing-effective text by analyzing user preferences. For example, in advertising planning courses, students can use GPT tools to generate multiple versions of advertising slogans. They then optimize these slogans according to the language habits of the target users, making the copy more likely to resonate with the audience.

Data analysis tools such as Python, Google Trends, Baidu Index, and Adobe Analytics help designers collect and analyze market data, user behavior data, and competitor data. They mine consumer preferences, market trends, and brand pain points, providing data support for design decisions. For example, by analyzing user comments on social media through Python, designers can obtain positive and negative feedback from consumers on a certain type of product design. This allows them to strengthen advantageous elements and improve deficiencies in the design. This data-driven design method transforms visual design from pure aesthetic expression to a dual orientation of "aesthetics + practicality" [1].

2.2 Development Trend of Human-AI Collaborative Design Model

The development of AI technology has not replaced the core position of designers. Instead, it has spawned a new "human-AI collaboration" design model. In this model, AI undertakes repetitive and technical work—such as material generation, data processing, and initial layout. Meanwhile, designers focus on core links including creative conception, strategy formulation, emotional expression, and aesthetic judgment. The advantage of the human-AI collaborative design model lies in two aspects. It gives play to the efficient, accurate, and diverse characteristics of AI while retaining the creative thinking and humanistic care of human designers. This achieves a "1+1>2" creative effect.

According to a report by the McKinsey Global Institute [2], by 2030, approximately 30% of global work tasks will be completed by AI. About 40% of technical work in the design industry can be automated through AI tools. This trend means that future designers must have the ability to work collaboratively with AI. They need to rationally use AI tools to improve creative efficiency and quality while maintaining their own creative uniqueness and core competitiveness. Therefore, visual design courses must comply with this trend. They should integrate the concept of human-AI collaborative design into the entire teaching process, cultivating students' AI application capabilities and collaborative innovation capabilities [3].

3. Challenges Faced by Traditional Visual Design Teaching

In recent years, the rapid popularization of generative AI tools has further widened the gap between traditional teaching models and industrial practice. Design enterprises have widely adopted AI-assisted creation, data analysis, and intelligent evaluation systems to improve efficiency and innovation. However,

most visual design courses in colleges and universities still stay at the level of traditional theories and manual operations. This disconnection directly leads to students' insufficient adaptability after employment and makes it difficult for them to meet the talent standards required by the intelligent design industry.

3.1 Educational Objectives and Contemporary Requirements of Visual Design Courses

The core objectives of visual design courses are to cultivate students' creative thinking, visual planning, and visual expression capabilities. These courses enable students to efficiently and high-quality plan and create in a multi-media environment. They usually cover multiple fields such as advertising planning, graphic design, interaction design, craft design, and film and television creation. With the rapid popularization of AI technology, the limitations of traditional teaching methods for visual design courses have become increasingly obvious.

Firstly, traditional visual design courses usually take classic design principles as the core. These include graphic design foundation, color theory, and layout. However, new intelligent tools—such as image generation, intelligent retouching, and automatic layout—can greatly accelerate the design generation speed and lower the design threshold. They generate highly realistic images quickly while automating many design processes. The traditional curriculum arrangement can no longer adapt to the new technical ecology and business processes of AIGC.

Secondly, traditional courses usually focus on manual creative expression. They rely on teachers' experience transmission and manual demonstrations to cultivate students' creative thinking and design skills. However, this method mainly relies on teachers' personal insights and practical experience. It involves little dynamic, data-driven creative generation, making it difficult to meet the current planning industry's demand for innovative talents who are data-sensitive and technology-driven. Especially in fields such as advertising planning and visual communication that need to respond quickly to market changes, traditional courses cannot effectively guide students to master emerging skills. These include market analysis and user insight based on data. This makes students may face adaptability problems after entering the workplace.

In addition, traditional visual design teaching methods usually focus on static projects. They have a single content creation method and rarely involve cross-media and cross-platform creative applications. Today, with the increasing importance of multimedia advertising and digital marketing, the industry's requirements for talents have long exceeded a single medium. They have turned to multi-platform and cross-media content integration capabilities [4]. However, traditional courses often lack systematic training in cultivating students' cross-media design and human-computer interaction capabilities. This makes it difficult for students to adapt to diverse media environments and user interaction design needs.

Finally, traditional teaching methods also have limitations in evaluation and feedback. Traditional teaching often relies on teachers' manual evaluation. This results in a long feedback cycle for students' works, and it is difficult to achieve personalized evaluation standards. Students cannot obtain improvement suggestions in a short period of time. In contrast, AI can provide real-time feedback and intelligent evaluation based on learning data. This helps students adjust their creative direction in a timely manner. However, this advantage has not been fully reflected in traditional teaching. Students' cultivation of creative thinking is limited by time and resources, making it difficult to improve effectively in a short period of time.

Therefore, in the context of the rapid development of AI technology, the teaching model of traditional visual design courses is relatively backward. It can no longer meet the industry's demand for comprehensive talents integrating technological innovation and creative thinking. Promoting the integration of course content with AI technology has become an inevitable path to improve teaching effectiveness and achieve talent training goals.

3.2 Disconnect Between Course Content and Industry Technology

The content system of traditional visual design courses focuses on classic design theories and manual skills. It mainly covers graphic design foundation, color theory, layout principles, and hand-drawing skills. However, it insufficiently covers emerging technologies and skills such as AI tool application, data analysis, and human-AI collaboration. For example, in graphic design

courses, traditional teaching focuses on manual layout and basic software operations—such as basic functions of Photoshop and Illustrator. But the teaching of emerging tools such as Midjourney (an AI image generation tool) and Canva AI (intelligent layout function) is almost blank. In advertising planning courses, it emphasizes the experience transmission of creative conception and copywriting. But it lacks systematic teaching of data-driven user insight and AI-assisted copy optimization.

The lag of such course content leads to students needing to re-learn mainstream AI tools and work processes in the industry after graduation. This increases the employment adaptation period and reduces students' employability. According to the "2024 Creative Design Industry Talent Demand Report" released by Zhaopin. com, more than 70% of design enterprises list "AI tool usage ability" as an important assessment indicator during recruitment. However, only 30% of visual design courses in colleges and universities have set up relevant modules. The disconnect between course content and industry needs is serious [5].

3.3 Lack of Interactivity and Personalization in Teaching Methods

Traditional visual design teaching mainly adopts the model of "teacher lecture + case analysis + student practice + teacher comment". This model is relatively single and lacks interactivity and personalization. In the teaching process, teachers are usually the disseminators of knowledge. Students passively accept knowledge, lacking opportunities for active exploration and innovation. For example, in color theory teaching, teachers often explain color matching principles through PPT. They then let students conduct hand-drawing exercises, and finally give unified comments. This teaching method is difficult to stimulate students' learning interest and creativity.

In addition, traditional teaching adopts a "one-size-fits-all" model. It ignores the differences in students' learning styles, basic levels, and interests. For example, some students are good at manual creation, while others are more interested in digital tools and technologies. But traditional courses cannot provide personalized learning paths and resource support for different types of students. In terms of evaluation and feedback, traditional teaching relies on teachers' manual evaluation. It has a long feedback cycle and a single evaluation standard—mainly based on aesthetic effect and skill mastery. It lacks consideration of dimensions such as creative innovation, data support, and user adaptability. Students cannot obtain targeted improvement suggestions in a timely manner, making it difficult to improve their design capabilities in a short period of time.

3.4 Disconnect between Practical Teaching and Actual Industry Needs

The practical teaching of traditional visual design courses mainly focuses on virtual projects. It lacks connection with actual industry projects. In the practice process, students mainly create according to the themes and requirements set by teachers. They do not consider practical factors such as market demand, user feedback, and business goals. This results in works that often lack practicality and market competitiveness. For example, in the practical project of advertising design courses, students only need to complete the design of advertising posters. They do not conduct a complete process such as market research, user analysis, and effect evaluation. This makes it difficult to cultivate students' comprehensive design capabilities and business thinking.

In addition, traditional practical teaching focuses on design training of a single medium. It lacks integrated training of cross-media and cross-platform. In the digital media era, visual design has covered multiple platforms such as print media, network media, mobile media, and outdoor media. The industry requires designers to realize the integrated design and collaborative communication of multi-platform content. However, traditional courses have insufficient teaching in interaction design, multimedia design, and cross-platform adaptation. This makes it difficult for students to adapt to diverse media environments and user needs.

3.5 Insufficient AI Literacy of Teachers

Teachers are the core force of curriculum reform. Their AI literacy directly affects the effect of curriculum optimization. However, most teachers of visual design courses in colleges and universities currently graduate from traditional art and design majors. They lack systematic AI technology training and relevant practical experience. Some teachers are not proficient in the use of AI tools. They find it difficult to effectively guide students to use AI for design

creation in teaching. Some teachers have insufficient understanding of the development trends and industry applications of AI technology. They cannot accurately grasp the direction and focus of curriculum optimization. Others have a resistant attitude towards AI technology. They believe that AI will replace the creative core of designers and are unwilling to take the initiative to integrate into course teaching.

The insufficient AI literacy of the teaching team makes it difficult for traditional visual design courses to achieve a real intelligent reform. The course content and teaching methods still remain at the traditional level, unable to meet the requirements of talent training in the era [6].

4. Optimization Path of Visual Design Courses

Based on the development trend of AI technology and the limitations of traditional teaching, the optimization of visual design courses should follow the principles of "technology integration, content update, method innovation, and competency orientation", and build an innovative path from four dimensions: teaching content, teaching methods, practical teaching, and evaluation system, aiming to cultivate students' AI application capabilities, data-driven thinking, human-AI collaboration capabilities, and innovative practical capabilities.

4.1 Intelligent Update of Teaching Content

The optimization of teaching content is the core of curriculum reform, which should be updated around AI technology application, data analysis capabilities, cross-media design, and copyright ethics education to build a curriculum system adapting to the needs of the times. In terms of AI tool application, systematically teach the use methods and application scenarios of mainstream AIGC tools, including image generation tools (Midjourney, Stable Diffusion, DALL-E), text generation tools (GPT, ERNIE Bot), intelligent design tools (Canva AI, Adobe Firefly), and data analysis tools (Python, Google Trends), combining theoretical explanation, case analysis, practical operation and work comment to cultivate students' tool application and creative transformation capabilities [7]. Introduce data-driven design processes, teach basic methods of data analysis and design applications, guide students to collect and analyze market research data, user behavior data, and competitor design data through relevant tools, and convert data into intuitive charts to provide support for design strategy formulation, integrating the data-driven design process into the entire curriculum practice. Expand cross-media design content, including basic interaction design, multimedia design principles, cross-platform design adaptation, and user experience design, through specific cases and practical projects to cultivate students' multi-platform integrated design capabilities. Add copyright and ethics education modules, cover legal provisions on AI-generated content copyright, copyright infringement identification standards, AI design ethical principles, and designer professional ethics norms, and guide students to abide by relevant laws and regulations when using AI-generated content [8].

4.2 Innovation of Teaching Methods

Focus on the principles of "human-AI collaboration, personalized teaching, and interactive participation", and adopt various teaching methods such as AI-driven interactive teaching, project-based teaching, and flipped classroom to improve teaching effectiveness. Integrate the concept of human-AI collaborative creation into teaching, design "human-AI collaborative design projects", let students complete the entire process from creative conception, data collection, AI material generation, manual optimization to final work presentation in groups, and learn from the teaching experience of foreign universities such as Rhode Island School of Design (RISD) and Stanford University’s Design School to cultivate students' human-AI collaboration capabilities. Use AI technology to build a personalized teaching system, including learning diagnosis, learning plan generation, real-time feedback and guidance, and learning progress tracking, to provide customized learning paths and resource support for each student. Adopt the flipped classroom and blended teaching model, combine classroom teaching with online learning, release learning resources through the online teaching platform before class, organize interactive activities such as group discussions and project practice during class, and complete homework submission and mutual evaluation after class to give full play to students' main role.

4.3 Systematic Upgrade of Practical Teaching

Improve the pertinence and effectiveness of

practical teaching by connecting with industry projects, building a multi-level practical system, and constructing an intelligent practical platform. Strengthen cooperation with design companies and enterprises, introduce real industry projects into the classroom, let students participate in the complete process of market research, creative conception, design execution, and effect evaluation, and invite enterprise mentors to provide professional guidance [9]. Construct a multi-level practical system of "basic practice - comprehensive practice - innovative practice", gradually cultivating students' design capabilities from basic skills training to comprehensive application and innovative ability cultivation. Build an intelligent practical teaching platform, provide students with online access to AI tools, data analysis software, and virtual simulation environments, establish a design resource library, and realize functions such as work display, mutual evaluation and discussion, and teacher comments to break the limitations of time and space [10].

4.4 Diversified Construction of Evaluation System

Reform the evaluation system from three aspects: evaluation subjects, evaluation dimensions, and evaluation methods to objectively and comprehensively evaluate students' learning results and ability levels. Introduce a diversified evaluation subject combining student self-evaluation, group mutual evaluation, teacher evaluation, and enterprise evaluation to ensure the objectivity and comprehensiveness of evaluation. Construct a diversified evaluation dimension of "knowledge mastery - skill application - creative innovation - collaboration and communication - professional literacy", comprehensively evaluating students' comprehensive capabilities. Adopt a diversified evaluation method combining process evaluation and summative evaluation, quantitative evaluation and qualitative evaluation, with process evaluation focusing on students' classroom performance, homework completion, project practice progress, and group collaboration performance, and summative evaluation focusing on final exams, project work displays, and practice reports.

5. Challenges and Countermeasures in the Implementation Process

5.1 Technical Adaptability and Cost Issues

The use of AI tools requires the support of certain hardware and software environments, including high-performance computers, stable network environments, and AI tool authorization fees. Some colleges and universities face difficulties in equipping sufficient high-performance computers and purchasing AI tool authorizations due to limited funds, and the rapid iteration of AI tools also increases the difficulty and cost of technical adaptation. To address these problems, colleges and universities can strive for government and enterprise support to apply for special educational funds; cooperate with AI tool providers to obtain educational preferential policies; build a cloud-based practical platform to reduce hardware costs; and select open-source AI tools as teaching tools to reduce software authorization fees [11].

5.2 Insufficient AI Literacy of Teachers

Most teachers of visual design courses in colleges and universities graduate from traditional art and design majors, lacking systematic AI technology training and relevant practical experience, and some even have a resistant attitude towards AI technology, which affects the effect of curriculum optimization. To improve teachers' AI literacy, systematic teacher training can be carried out, inviting AI technology experts and industry designers to give special lectures and practical training; encouraging teachers to participate in AI-related scientific research projects and academic exchange activities; establishing a "university-enterprise mutual employment" mechanism to promote the exchange of teachers and enterprise experts; and setting up an AI teaching innovation team to encourage teachers to learn from each other and cooperate.

5.3 Copyright and Ethics Issues

The copyright ownership of AI-generated content lacks clear legal provisions, and AI-generated content may have ethical issues such as bias, false information, and privacy violations, which bring risks to design works. To solve these problems, it is necessary to strengthen copyright and ethics education in courses, let students understand relevant laws, regulations and ethical principles [12]; formulate classroom norms for AI design, requiring students to indicate the degree of AI participation when using AI-generated content; guide students to

review and optimize AI-generated content to avoid ethical issues; and encourage students to carry out original design, using AI as an auxiliary tool.

5.4 Risk of Students' Over-Reliance on AI

Some students may over-rely on AI tools, lacking independent thinking and creative conception capabilities, resulting in design works lacking personality and depth. To avoid this risk, it is necessary to emphasize the core position of human creativity in teaching, guiding students to correctly understand the role of AI tools; set creative originality assessment indicators in design projects to encourage students to integrate their own creativity and style; strengthen creative thinking training through brainstorming, creative competitions, and traditional design method teaching; and guide students to conduct critical analysis and optimization of AI-generated content to cultivate their critical thinking and aesthetic judgment capabilities.

6. Conclusions and Prospects

With the rapid development of AI technology, the visual design industry is undergoing profound changes. The requirements for the capabilities of design talents are also increasing. The content and teaching methods of traditional visual design courses can no longer meet the needs of the times, and curriculum optimization is imperative. Based on constructivist learning theory, human-AI collaborative innovation theory, and competency-based education theory, this paper constructs an optimization path for visual design courses in the AI environment from four dimensions: teaching content, teaching methods, practical teaching, and evaluation system. It proposes specific strategies such as adding AI tool application modules, introducing data-driven design processes, carrying out human-AI collaborative creation projects, and building a diversified evaluation system. It also analyzes the challenges and solutions in the implementation process from technical adaptability, teacher training, copyright ethics, and students' over-reliance on AI.

Research shows that the in-depth integration of AI technology and visual design courses can effectively improve teaching effectiveness and students' comprehensive capabilities. It cultivates compound design talents who meet the needs of the industry. However, curriculum optimization is a long-term and dynamic process. It needs to be continuously adjusted and improved with the development of AI technology and changes in industry needs. In the future, the intelligent reform of visual design courses should focus on the following aspects: first, strengthen the in-depth integration of AI technology and design theories. Explore AI-driven design innovation models. Second, promote interdisciplinary cooperation. Integrate knowledge from multiple disciplines such as design, computer science, data science, and psychology. Cultivate students' interdisciplinary thinking. Third, use big data and AI technology to build an intelligent teaching evaluation system. Achieve accurate evaluation and continuous improvement of teaching quality. Fourth, strengthen international exchanges and cooperation. Learn from the advanced teaching experience of foreign universities, such as RISD and Stanford, and improve the internationalization level of courses.

In conclusion, AI technology has brought new opportunities and challenges to visual design education. Colleges and universities should actively comply with the trend of the times. Promote the intelligent reform of visual design courses, continuously improve teaching quality and talent training level. Provide strong support for the sustainable development of the visual design industry.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Guangzhou Xinhua University Higher Education Teaching Reform Project: Research on the Optimization Path of Planning and Design Courses Driven by Artificial Intelligence (No. 2023J053).

References

[1] Liu, Y., & Stout, A. (2023). Data-driven design practices and their impacts on visual communication education. International Journal of Art & Design Education, 42(2), 287–303.

[2] Qing Li, Emily J. Bailey, Ruddhi Wadadekar, M. Colin Levi. (2026). AI & Social Learning? An Examination of Preservice Teachers' Perspectives. Journal of Educational Technology Systems. 54 (3), 613-635.

[3] Merve Gerçek, Cem Güney Özveren. (2025). Creative self-efficacy, learning agility, and proactive career behaviors: The moderated

mediation effect of positive AI attitudes among young adults.Thinking Skills and Creativity, 58(1), 101895-101895.

[4] Yim, I. H. Y., Huang, J. H., & Chen, L. M. (2023). AI literacy among design educators: Perceptions and needs. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 26(2), 119–135.

[5] Öztürk, S. (2024). Generative AI tools in graphic design education: Challenges and opportunities. Design Principles and Practices, 18(1), 56–78.

[6] Miller, T., & Jones, K. (2024). Sustainable adoption of AI tools in higher education design programs. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21(1), 1–18.

[7] Wang, H., & Lee, S. (2023). Alignment gaps between design education and industry in the AI era. Design for Learning, 15(3), 45–62.

[8] Lee, M., & Kim, S. (2024). Implementing AI tools in design education: Cost and infrastructure challenges. International Journal of Technology in Design, 8(1), 93–109.

[9] Rogers, S., & Payne, A. (2023). Integrating generative AI into visual communication curricula. Journal of Design Education, 46(1), 112–128.

[10] Bennett, C., & Taylor, L. (2024). AIGC tools and creative skill development in visual design courses. Creativity and Innovation Management, 33(2), 411–425.

[11] Clark, S., & Lewis, M. (2023). Ethical frameworks for generative AI in design education. Journal of Media Ethics, 38(4), 267–281.

[12] Davis, E., & Smith, K. (2023). Copyright and ownership of AI-generated creative content. Journal of Intellectual Property Law, 30(2), 211–234.

